

**Braam Outcomes
For FY10
Survey of Foster Parents and
Caregivers In Washington State
FY10 Annual Report (Revised)
(SESRC REPORT 11-006)**

BRM5
February 28, 2011

Prepared for

Children's Administration
P.O.B. 45710
1115 Washington Street SE
Olympia, WA 98504-5710

Submitted by

John Tarnai, Ph.D.
Principal Investigator

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center
PO Box 644014; Wilson Hall 133
Washington State University
Pullman, WA 99164-4014
509-335-1511
509-335-0116 (fax)
SESRC@wsu.edu
tarnai@wsu.edu

Profile

Title: **Braam Outcomes for FY10 from the Survey of Foster Parents and Relative Caregivers in Washington State**

Abstract: This FY10 annual report presents the survey results and the outcome calculations for the fiscal year 2010 survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State. The SESRC has conducted this telephone survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State annually since 2007. The main purpose of the survey is to obtain information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement. In developing the original survey design, questionnaire, and procedures, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Foster Parent Association of Washington State (FPAWS), the Children's Administration (CA), foster parent liaisons, and the Braam Survey Advisory Group. The previous surveys were conducted in spring of 2007 (SESRC Data Report #07-048), spring of 2008 (SESRC Data Report #08-044), and spring of 2009 (SESRC Data Report #09-040). The present FY10 annual report is based on the two quarterly surveys conducted in the first half of 2010 (which asked questions about the last six months of 2009), and on the two quarterly surveys conducted in the second half of 2010 (which asked questions about the first six months of 2010).

Method: The survey methods included mailing a letter to a random sample of foster parents and relative caregivers prior to the start of the telephone calling. Telephone interviews were then attempted with as many people as could be reached during the survey period. SESRC made use of a computer assisted telephone interview (CATI) system, and a call scheduler to ensure that up to 15 call attempts were made to reach each respondent. The telephone interview averaged 23 minutes in length.

Results: For this report, the survey results are based on a total of **1,345** interviews. The cooperation rate for the telephone interview was **88.4%**. The overall response rate was **54.0%**; with a sample error of **± 2.5%**.

Timeframe: January through December of 2010
Investigator: John Tarnai, Ph.D.
Study Director: Bruce Austin, M.S.
SESRC Report #: 10-001
SESRC Acronym: BRM4 & 5

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Project Profile	i
I. SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOME MEASUREMENT	1
Background and Survey Objectives.....	1
Population and Sample	2
Survey Implementation.....	5
Additional Information about the Survey	8
Outcome Measurement	9
Trends for all Eight Braam Survey Outcomes	12
Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome	13
FY10 Overall Summary Results	14
FY10 Results for Licensed Caregivers and Unlicensed Caregivers	15
FY10 Results for all Caregivers by Region	16
FY10 Results for Licensed Caregivers by Region	17
FY10 Results for Unlicensed Caregivers by Region	18
II. CALCULATION OF OUTCOMES	
C.1.1 Adequate training	19
C.1.2 Adequate support	21
C.1.3 Adequate information	25
D.1.5 Medically fragile care.....	31
D.1.3 SAY – Sexually Aggressive Youth.....	36
D.1.4 PAAY – Physically Assaultive / Aggressive Youth	40
B.4.1 Behavioral Health Services.....	44
E.2.1 Sibling visits.....	47
Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome - D.1.6 - Monthly Caseworker visits	57
III. APPENDICES	
Survey Results for FY10	61
Ratings of Support Provided by the Social Worker and Agency	114
Survey Questionnaire	119
Survey Letter.....	138

I. SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOME MEASUREMENT

Background and Survey Objectives

This report describes the implementation and results of the FY10 survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State. This survey is the fourth annual survey conducted to measure selected Braam outcomes. While there have been some changes to the methods and the questionnaire, we have emphasized consistency to ensure comparability of annual results. One fairly major change has been the change from a calendar year basis to a fiscal year basis, and a change in the survey methodology to quarterly implementation. The first two surveys of foster parents and relative caregivers, conducted in 2007 and 2008, asked questions about the previous calendar year and each was conducted over a three-month period. For the FY09 survey it was decided that a quarterly implementation would make it easier for caregivers to respond to questions about the previous six month period, and would also allow the results to be reported for a fiscal year, rather than a calendar year. Thus, beginning with the FY09 survey and continuing with the FY10 survey, questions are based on the previous six month period.

The present FY10 annual report is thus based on interviews conducted during the 2010 calendar year with questions that asked about caregiver experiences during the 2010 fiscal year which runs from July 2009 to June 2010.

The main purpose of the survey is to obtain information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement related to caregivers' work with foster children and associated outcomes and action steps. * The foster parent survey addresses all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement: placement stability, mental health, foster parent training and information, unsafe and inappropriate placements, sibling separation, and services to adolescents. Several types of questions are included in the survey:

- Monitoring- Certain questions in the foster parent survey are being used by the Braam Oversight Panel to monitor Children's Administration's progress toward eight of the outcomes specified in the Braam Implementation Plan. These specific questions are used to calculate outcome data (see section II of this report).

- Informational- Some questions in the foster parent survey are related to the goals of the Braam Settlement Agreement, but will not be used by the Braam Panel to monitor compliance with the agreement. In these areas, these data will be used by Children's Administration, providers, and stakeholders to better understand the experiences of foster parents and inform practice improvement (see section III).

- Demographic and background information- The foster parent survey includes a number of demographic and background questions (see section III).

* The Braam Settlement Agreement and implementation plan are available online at this website: <http://www.braampanel.org>

In developing the original survey design, questionnaire, and procedures, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Foster Parent Association of Washington State (FPAWS), the Children's Administration (CA), foster parent liaisons, and the Braam Survey Advisory Group. The survey questionnaire was extensively reviewed by foster parents, Braam Panel members, Children's Administration staff, and Braam plaintiffs' attorneys, to ensure that it would provide adequate measures of specific Braam Panel outcomes over time.

Population and Sample

The population for this survey is all foster parents and relative caregivers who cared for a child or children placed in state custody in Washington State during the 2010 fiscal year. The sampling frame for this survey is the list of all foster parents and relative caregivers registered by the State of Washington who provided care to at least one child/youth during the 2010 fiscal year.

The sample plan was designed to represent all six DSHS regions in proportion to their representation in all of Washington State. The sample includes only active caregivers, including those licensed through private agencies and those licensed through the state. The sample also includes those who are unlicensed relative caregivers and registered with the state.

Foster parents who were inactive during the 2010 fiscal year were not included in the survey, even though they had been included in prior surveys. Our previous experience attempting to interview inactive foster parents was not very successful since most inactive foster parents could not be located or did not want to participate in the survey.

Table 1 on the following page displays the caregiver population for each quarterly survey, by region and by type of caregiver.

Table 1. Survey Population by Type and Region: Caregivers with at least one child in an open placement episode in their care for at least 60 days during the FY10 quarter.

Quarter 1: Survey Population by Region and Type				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	430	78	312	820
2	227	121	214	562
3	250	135	362	747
4	223	175	302	700
5	189	339	248	776
6	438	124	248	810
Total	1757	972	1686	4415

Quarter 2: Survey Population by Region and Type				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	384	82	265	731
2	194	108	180	482
3	210	108	267	585
4	170	156	262	588
5	153	295	195	643
6	386	103	200	689
Total	1497	852	1369	3718

Quarter 3: Survey Population by Region and Type				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	372	82	245	699
2	199	112	192	503
3	222	112	242	576
4	178	166	251	595
5	144	259	183	586
6	397	105	193	695
Total	1512	836	1306	3654

Quarter 4: Survey Population by Region and Type				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	353	85	240	678
2	205	106	193	504
3	235	112	243	590
4	175	155	238	568
5	146	277	155	578
6	408	94	192	694
Total	1522	829	1261	3612

Number of Completed Interviews by Quarter, Region and Type.

Q1 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	55	8	15	78
2	21	14	15	50
3	22	16	27	65
4	15	10	18	43
5	16	37	10	63
6	41	11	14	66
Total	170	96	99	365

Q2 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	52	9	17	78
2	31	8	9	48
3	26	13	14	53
4	14	17	13	44
5	18	23	5	46
6	43	7	15	65
Total	184	77	73	334

Q3 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	51	10	13	74
2	17	10	8	35
3	29	10	14	53
4	27	15	6	48
5	11	24	4	39
6	65	3	14	82
Total	200	72	59	331

Q4 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews				
Region	FH (DLR Licensed)	PA (Private Agency)	RP (Unlicensed)	Totals
1	35	10	13	58
2	21	11	10	42
3	29	15	15	59
4	17	14	20	51
5	18	26	8	52
6	40	6	7	53
Total	160	82	73	315

Survey Implementation

Survey Procedures Several procedures were implemented that helped to build a rapport with respondents and to ensure that respondents felt comfortable with the survey. Information about the survey was placed on the BRAAM website and the DSHS website just as the survey data collection period was getting underway. The SESRC telephone interviewers were provided with a "Frequently Asked Questions" reference sheet to help answer questions that foster parents may have about the survey. An advance letter was sent to all foster parents and relative caregivers in the sample approximately one week before the beginning of telephone interviews. The purpose of the advance letter was to let foster parents know that a SESRC interviewer would be calling and to inform them about the topic of the survey. A toll-free 800-telephone number that foster parents could call in to ask questions about the survey was maintained during the calling period.

A particular concern for this survey was to put in place safeguards to guarantee confidentiality of survey responses and anonymity in survey results. To ensure that survey respondents cannot be identified from their survey responses the SESRC follows federal guidelines for protection of human subjects and maintains the survey dataset in a secure location at SESRC. The survey dataset will not be released without prior written approval from the Braam Oversight Panel.

Telephone Interviews. Interviews for the fiscal year 2010 survey were conducted quarterly in four waves. The first quarterly survey of caregivers who provided care between July and October 2009 was conducted between January and March 2010. The second quarterly survey of caregivers who provided care between October and June 2009 was conducted between April and June 2010. The third quarterly survey of caregivers was conducted between July and September 2010. The fourth quarterly survey of caregivers was conducted between October and December 2010. All call attempts were made at different times of the day and on different days of the week in order to maximize the likelihood of reaching respondents. At least three evening call attempts were made and two daytime call attempts. At least one call attempt was made on the weekend. If an interviewer called at an inconvenient time for the respondent, the interviewer attempted to schedule a specific time to re-contact the household for an interview. If an answering machine was encountered, interviewers were instructed to leave a scripted answering machine message. If a message was left, the case was held for three days and then called on again. Only one AM message was left per case. The average length for a completed interview was 23 minutes. Interviewers made an average of fifteen call attempts per hour during the calling period.

Response Rates For the interviews conducted during the FY10 survey, the final cooperation rate achieved was 88.4% and the response rate achieved was 54%. The cooperation rate indicates the percent of people who are contacted for an interview that agree to participate in the survey. The response rate indicates the percent of people in the total sample, minus the ineligible respondents, that participate in the survey. The calculation of both measures is based on the suggested definitions and procedures defined by the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR).

The table below displays the quarterly sample disposition and response rates achieved, as well as the overall FY10 results.

	Total Sample Disposition	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY10 Total
CM	Completed Interviews	364	330	327	309	1330
PC	Partial Interviews	1	4	4	6	15
RF	Refusals	44	55	41	36	176
NC	Non Interview, unknown eligibility	210	260	299	319	1088
OT	Other Non Contact	0	2	6	0	8
IE	Ineligible	139	107	81	88	415
	Total	758	758	758	758	3032

e	Estimated Eligibility Proportion	0.746	0.79	0.82	0.80	0.79
CR	= (CM+PC)/(CM+PC+RF)	89.2	89.0	89.0	89.7	88.4
RR4	= (CM+PC)/(CM+PC+RF+OT+e*NC)	64.5	56.0	53.1	52.0	54.0

The cooperation rate and the response rate achieved in this study are higher than last year's rates and are higher than what is generally achieved in public opinion surveys. These high rates reflect what our telephone interviewers experienced in conducting the telephone interviews – that foster parents and relative caregivers were highly interested in the survey and in offering their views on the issues addressed in the survey. The high cooperation and response rate are also due to the efforts of the SESRC data collection supervisors and interviewers in contacting respondents at various times throughout the day and on various days throughout the week during the survey period to ensure that people who were not at home would still have an opportunity to participate in the survey. This high response rate ensures that the survey results are truly representative of the population of all foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State.

Current phone numbers were not available for some foster parents and caregivers but this is not an indication that DSHS is unable to contact children and youth in out-of-home care. Explanations for the non-working and missing phone numbers include that the caregivers may have discontinued providing care and their phone numbers had changed, phone numbers may have changed between the time the sample was pulled and the caregiver was called, or the numbers could have been misdialed or entered incorrectly into the CAMIS database. In previous surveys SESRC returned nonworking numbers to Children's Administration several times during each survey so that numbers could be checked and corrected. We have not had to do so for the most recent quarterly surveys because of the relatively small number of non-working and missing telephone numbers.

Sample Error

Sample error is a measure of the degree to which a randomly selected sample of respondents represents the population from which it is drawn, at a given level of confidence (usually 95%). The survey sample was designed to ensure that the maximum sample error for licensed caregivers and for unlicensed caregivers was no larger than plus or minus 5%, and for regional results was no larger than plus or minus 10% at a 95% confidence level. The survey achieved and exceeded these objectives. The maximum sample error for the entire survey is no larger than plus or minus 2.4%. This means that we can have 95% confidence that sample results do not deviate from the population of all caregivers in the state by more than 2.4% for questions with two response categories and 1,330 respondents. Sample errors vary for each question, with the result dependent on the number of response categories, the population size, and the number of respondents answering the question.

Additional Information about the Survey

- Caregivers who did not care for a child in foster care/kinship care during the quarter previous to the survey were excluded from the survey.
- Foster parents with multiple children in the home were asked to answer survey questions about only one child. The child selected was the one who had spent longer than 60 days in the home during the last half of 2009 or the first half of 2010. If there was more than one such child in the household, then parents were allowed to select which child to answer questions about.
- Caregivers who cared only for children who were adopted or in a guardianship (not children remaining in foster/kinship care) during the last half of 2009 or first half of 2010 were excluded from the survey.
- Only caregivers whose children had spent longer than 60 days in the home during the quarter previous to the survey were eligible to participate in the survey.
- The survey included explicit definitions for certain terms used in the interviews (e.g., “medically fragile,” “physically assaultive or physically aggressive youth” and “sexually aggressive youth”).
- Interviewers were provided with responses to frequently asked questions (FAQs) about the survey to ensure that all respondents would get the same information about the survey.
- Children’s Administration policy as of September 1, 2008 requires monthly visits for all children in out-of-home care. These visits include individual and private time with the child to discuss the child’s situation. For children who are non-verbal, this individual and private part of the monthly visit is not expected.
- A substantial change was made to the caregiver questionnaire between Q1 and Q2 of FY10 in questions about sibling visits and contacts which enter into the calculation for one Braam outcome (E.2.1). Previous surveys asked about the foster child’s visits and contacts with all of their siblings combined who were not placed with their caregiver. The questionnaire used for the last three quarters of FY10 was significantly changed so that these questions were now asked about each of the siblings that a foster child had, with the possibility of asking questions for up to nine siblings.

Outcome Measurement

Introduction A number of specific questions in the foster parent survey are being used by the Braam Oversight Panel to monitor Children's Administration's progress toward the following eight outcomes specified in the Braam Implementation Plan:

Outcomes related to Foster Parent Training and Information (note: pursuant to the Braam Implementation Plan, these measures apply to licensed caregivers only):

- C.1.1 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate training for their roles and responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).
- C.1.2 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).
- C.1.3 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).

Outcomes related to Unsafe and Inappropriate Placements (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):

- D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.
- D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.
- D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive or aggressive (PAAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.

Outcomes related to Mental Health (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):

- B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.

Outcomes related to Sibling Separation (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):

- E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.

This report describes which survey questions are included in each Braam outcome, and how the survey responses enter into the calculation of each outcome. The outcome measurement and calculation is done at the request of the Braam Panel and after discussion with all stakeholders in the Braam Settlement Agreement.

There are four guiding principles in the development of these outcome measures:

- (1) that the measurement is done accurately;
- (2) that it is fair to all stakeholders;
- (3) that it be reliable;
- (4) that the calculation be easily understood and explainable to others.

Methodology The procedure for obtaining an outcome measurement has five basic steps:

- (1) Identify the relevant survey questions for each outcome.
- (2) Code all responses to each question to be included in a outcome, as being:
 - In compliance
 - Out of compliance
 - Not applicable
- (3) Obtain the frequency of each code for every question in the outcome, and calculate averages for those questions to be combined.
- (4) Calculate the outcome for each question according to the following formula:

$$\frac{\# \text{ In compliance}}{\# \text{ In compliance} + \# \text{ Out of compliance}}$$

- (5) Calculate the outcome for subgroups and regions:
 - Active foster parents
 - Relative caregivers
 - Six regions

Decision Rules The following pages summarize the outcomes results, and also describe the calculation of each of the eight Braam outcomes and the questions included in the measurement of each outcome.

Notes to the Calculations

- Survey questions asked about experiences in either the last half of 2009 (July through December) or the first half of 2010 (January through June), and all outcomes are based on survey results for fiscal year 2010.
- Results are presented separately for licensed and unlicensed caregivers, since some outcomes only apply to licensed caregivers, and others apply to all caregivers.
- While the total number of survey respondents is 1,345 some questions did not apply to some foster parents and relative caregivers. Thus, the total number of responses to any specific question will be less than 1,345 and in some cases much less.
- The data tables for the outcome measures in this report only show the number of survey respondents that are “in” compliance with the outcome and those that are “out” of compliance with the outcome. The number of respondents for whom the outcome did not apply, or that missed the question is not shown because this can be determined by subtracting the total in each data table from the total number of survey respondents (1,345).
- Most outcomes have screening questions to make sure that they are applied only to the relevant caregivers. These screening questions and the survey data are described in the calculation of each outcome measure.
- For outcome C.1.2 there is one question (Q78) that was not factored into the outcome for the year 2006, because the related policy was not yet in place. In subsequent years, including the current survey, this question is included in calculating the outcome.
- Outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. Thus, for **these three outcomes** the % in compliance in the overall summary results (page 10) and the results for all caregivers by region (page 12) is limited to only **licensed** caregivers.
- The calculation of the outcome for C.1.3 is limited to caregivers with placements in fiscal year 2010. The Braam Panel agreed that only cases for which placement with the current caregiver occurred during fiscal year 2010 (or applicable period) will be factored into this outcome.
- The calculation of the outcome for E.2.1 was significantly changed in fiscal year 2010. The Braam Panel agreed to make this outcome measure consistent with the way the compliance measure is stated, i.e., “children placed apart from their siblings will have two or more monthly visits or contacts ... with some or all of their siblings...”.

Trends for all Eight Braam Survey Outcomes

The table below displays the percent of caregivers meeting each of the Braam outcome criteria for surveys conducted in years 2007 through 2009, and in FY09 and FY10.*

			2007	2008	2009	FY09	FY10
Type	Outcome		%	%	%	%	%
Licensed	C.1.1	Adequate Training	88.6%	86.4%	85.9%	85.9%	85.2%
	C.1.2	Adequate Support	76.3%	75.6%	71.5%	71.9%	76.6%
	C.1.3	Adequate Information	72.8%	72.4%	72.3%	75.4%	81.8%
	D.1.5	Medically Fragile	77.9%	77.9%	88.4%	83.2%	86.7%
	D.1.3	SAY	50.8%	57.5%	72.7%	74.6%	75.4%
	D.1.4	PAAY	50.8%	51.2%	53.4%	55.8%	59.9%
	B.4.1	Behavioral Health Svcs	74.4%	86.6%	94.8%	95.2%	95.5%
	E.2.1	Sibling Visits & Contacts	45.4%	51.0%	51.2%	49.8%	50.4%
**Unlicensed	C.1.1	Adequate Training	80.4%	71.2%	86.0%	87.0%	86.3%
	C.1.2	Adequate Support	72.3%	74.7%	71.9%	68.6%	72.5%
	C.1.3	Adequate Information	74.9%	70.4%	74.6%	75.7%	79.7%
	D.1.5	Medically Fragile	68.8%	69.6%	81.0%	85.7%	83.3%
	D.1.3	SAY	12.3%	38.5%	28.6%	20.0%	0.0%
	D.1.4	PAAY	12.3%	14.8%	20.0%	25.0%	30.8%
	B.4.1	Behavioral Health Svcs	78.0%	93.5%	95.7%	96.2%	90.0%
	E.2.1	Sibling Visits & Contacts	54.8%	55.2%	61.6%	63.9%	56.1%
Combined Total	C.1.1	Adequate Training	86.7%	82.3%	85.9%	86.1%	85.3%
	C.1.2	Adequate Support	74.9%	75.3%	71.6%	71.1%	75.7%
	C.1.3	Adequate Information	73.5%	71.7%	73.0%	75.4%	81.4%
	D.1.5	Medically Fragile	74.9%	75.1%	87.6%	83.5%	86.3%
	D.1.3	SAY	44.7%	55.0%	68.5%	70.3%	70.5%
	D.1.4	PAAY	44.7%	44.7%	50.0%	52.9%	57.5%
	B.4.1	Behavioral Health Svcs	75.4%	88.8%	95.0%	95.4%	94.5%
	E.2.1	Sibling Visits & Contacts	48.4%	52.4%	53.7%	52.8%	51.6%

*Note that because of the transition from a calendar year report to a fiscal year report, the FY09 column includes half of the interviews reported in the 2009 column.

**Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.

Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome

Introduction There is one outcome that is informational only and is included in this report because it was originally included as one of the nine Braam Outcomes measured by the survey of foster parents and caregivers.

D.1.6 = Percentage of caregivers reporting that children receive a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.

Trend for D.1.6

The table below displays the percent of caregivers meeting this informational outcome for surveys conducted in years 2007 through 2010.

		2007	2008	2009	FY09	FY10
		%	%	%	%	%
Region						
Licensed	1	37.8%	40.9%	68.3%	68.6%	82.5%
	2	39.3%	39.3%	68.2%	65.2%	80.0%
	3	41.1%	40.3%	64.8%	72.5%	78.2%
	4	39.5%	39.3%	68.8%	70.1%	79.4%
	5	36.7%	33.1%	61.0%	76.4%	77.8%
	6	36.1%	48.3%	67.7%	71.9%	84.1%
	Total	38.1%	40.7%	66.4%	71.0%	80.7%
Unlicensed	1	47.8%	51.1%	73.0%	69.8%	84.2%
	2	32.7%	49.2%	65.1%	61.3%	82.9%
	3	34.6%	47.9%	80.9%	78.6%	81.2%
	4	33.3%	43.1%	70.7%	75.0%	70.4%
	5	37.3%	45.5%	76.7%	68.9%	92.6%
	6	38.7%	48.2%	81.4%	80.4%	85.7%
	Total	37.6%	47.6%	75.4%	73.4%	81.8%
Combined Total	1	41.2%	45.0%	69.7%	68.9%	82.8%
	2	37.0%	42.9%	67.3%	64.5%	80.7%
	3	38.3%	43.7%	70.4%	74.5%	79.1%
	4	36.9%	40.7%	69.3%	71.4%	76.7%
	5	36.9%	37.1%	65.3%	74.8%	79.8%
	6	36.9%	48.3%	70.9%	73.4%	84.4%
	Total	37.9%	43.2%	68.9%	71.6%	80.9%

FY10 Overall Summary Results

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion
C.1.1 Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).	85.2%
C.1.2 Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).	76.6%
C.1.3 Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).	81.8%
D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.	86.3%
D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	70.5%
D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive or aggressive (PAAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	57.5%
B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.	94.5%
E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.	51.6%

FY10 Results for Licensed Caregivers And Unlicensed Caregivers

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion	
	Licensed Caregivers	Unlicensed Caregivers
C.1.1 Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).	85.2%	86.3%
C.1.2 Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole.	76.6%	72.5%
C.1.3 Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole.	81.8%	79.7%
D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.	86.7%	83.3%
D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	75.4%	0.0%
D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive or aggressive (PAAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	59.9%	30.8%
B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.	95.5%	90.0%
E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.	50.4%	56.1%

**Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

FY10
Results for All Caregivers by Region

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion By Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
C.1.1 Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers*	83.7%	86.7%	84.6%	83.1%	88.5%	85.7%
C.1.2 Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers*	78.7%	73.7%	74.5%	74.3%	74.4%	76.8%
C.1.3 Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers*	83.7%	76.9%	76.5%	84.2%	81.6%	83.2%
D.1.5 Medically Fragile Care	84.6%	93.8%	87.5%	90.9%	75.0%	85.7%
D.1.3 Sexually Aggressive Youth	60.0%	75.0%	66.7%	66.7%	77.8%	75.0%
D.1.4 Physically Assaultive Youth	55.0%	52.4%	64.0%	57.9%	50.0%	65.5%
B.4.1 Behavioral Health Services	98.6%	89.2%	98.3%	87.0%	95.8%	94.4%
E.2.1 Sibling Visits and Contact	47.7%	47.6%	58.8%	51.3%	53.9%	50.6%

**Note that this table combines results for licensed as well as unlicensed caregivers.*

***Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

FY10 Results for Licensed Caregivers by Region

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion By Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
C.1.1 Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers	84.0%	86.3%	85.8%	81.3%	88.0%	85.1%
C.1.2 Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers	79.2%	75.4%	75.6%	74.3%	75.0%	77.9%
C.1.3 Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers	86.3%	73.4%	78.3%	84.7%	81.3%	84.2%
D.1.5 Medically Fragile Care	83.3%	100.0%	85.7%	90.9%	70.0%	91.7%
D.1.3 Sexually Aggressive Youth	75.0%	85.7%	72.7%	66.7%	77.8%	75.0%
D.1.4 Physically Assaultive Youth	58.3%	52.4%	63.6%	64.7%	50.0%	70.4%
B.4.1 Behavioral Health Services	98.2%	93.9%	97.8%	87.1%	97.6%	95.0%
E.2.1 Sibling Visits and Contact	45.5%	46.3%	57.4%	46.9%	54.3%	51.4%

FY10 Results for Unlicensed Caregivers by Region

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion By Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
C.1.1 Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers	81.3%	88.9%	78.8%	92.0%	93.3%	89.7%
C.1.2 Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers	76.5%	68.6%	71.8%	74.3%	70.1%	71.8%
C.1.3 Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers	75.8%	92.2%	72.3%	83.1%	86.4%	79.1%
D.1.5 Medically Fragile Care	100.0%	75.0%	100.0%	-*	100%	50.0%
D.1.3 Sexually Aggressive Youth	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-*	-*	-*
D.1.4 Physically Assaultive Youth	25.0%	-*	66.7%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
B.4.1 Behavioral Health Services	100.0%	50.0%	100%	86.7%	83.3%	90.9%
E.2.1 Sibling Visits and Contact	56.1%	52.2%	62.5%	61.8%	50.0%	46.7%

**There were no unlicensed caregivers in these regions who reported caring for a "medically fragile child" or a "sexually aggressive youth" or a "physically assaultive or aggressive youth."*

***Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

II. CALCULATION OF OUTCOMES

C.1.1 **Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).**

This outcome is measured by one question (Q27). This question asks foster parents to think about **all** of their training in the last three years and comment on its adequacy. Although Q27 asks foster parents to consider only their training within the past three years, the outcome does not include this time limitation. According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies to all licensed caregivers. For the purposes of calculating this outcome, responses of "already prepared" and "have not had training" are counted as not applicable.

C.1.1 Outcome Results

Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? * BQ27 Outcome * LICTYPE Type
Crosstabulation

Count		BQ27 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Very adequately	376	0	376
	Somewhat adequately	473	0	473
	Somewhat inadequately	0	108	108
	Or very inadequately	0	40	40
Total		849	148	997
Unlicensed	Very adequately	85	0	85
	Somewhat adequately	54	0	54
	Somewhat inadequately	0	8	8
	Or very inadequately	0	14	14
Total		139	22	161
Total	Very adequately	461	0	461
	Somewhat adequately	527	0	527
	Somewhat inadequately	0	116	116
	Or very inadequately	0	54	54
Total		988	170	1158

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	184	35	219	84.0%
	2	113	18	131	86.3%
	3	133	22	155	85.8%
	4	100	23	123	81.3%
	5	147	20	167	88.0%
	6	172	30	202	85.1%
Total		849	148	997	85.2%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	26	6	32	81.3%
	2	24	3	27	88.9%
	3	26	7	33	78.8%
	4	23	2	25	92.0%
	5	14	1	15	93.3%
	6	26	3	29	89.7%
Total		139	22	161	86.3%
Overall Combined		988	170	1158	85.3%
	1	210	41	251	83.7%
	2	137	21	158	86.7%
	3	159	29	188	84.6%
	4	123	25	148	83.1%
	5	161	21	182	88.5%
	6	198	33	231	85.7%
Total		988	170	1158	85.3%

C.1.2 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).

This outcome measure combines a total of seven questions: Q89, Q93, Q94, Q96, Q57, Q58, and Q78, but some questions are averaged so that equal weight is given to each of the four subsections of this outcome: support, cultural competency support, quality of help and support from the agency and social worker, and timely notification about case planning meetings. According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies to all licensed caregivers.

*Note: The results for Q78 were not factored into the outcome in the results for the 2007 survey, because the related policy had not been put in place in 2006. Q78, is however included in the outcome calculations for surveys conducted after 2007.

C.1.2 Outcome Results

Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2010, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? * BQ89 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ89 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	YES, RIGHT AWAY	201	0	201
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	95	0	95
	NO	0	54	54
Total		296	54	350
Unlicensed	YES, RIGHT AWAY	27	0	27
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	28	0	28
	NO	0	14	14
Total		55	14	69
Total	YES, RIGHT AWAY	228	0	228
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	123	0	123
	NO	0	68	68
Total		351	68	419

Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2010? * BQ93 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ93 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	VERY SUPPORTIVE	416	0	416
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	238	0	238
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	0	53	53
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	0	65	65
Total		654	118	772
Unlicensed	VERY SUPPORTIVE	99	0	99
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	67	0	67
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	0	10	10
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	0	16	16
Total		166	26	192
Total	VERY SUPPORTIVE	515	0	515
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	305	0	305
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	0	63	63
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	0	81	81
Total		820	144	964

Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010? * BQ94 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ94 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	VERY SATISFIED	435	0	435
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	302	0	302
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	117	117
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	117	117
Total		737	234	971
Unlicensed	VERY SATISFIED	105	0	105
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	91	0	91
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	36	36
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	48	48
Total		196	84	280
Total	VERY SATISFIED	540	0	540
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	393	0	393
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	153	153
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	165	165
Total		933	318	1251

Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010? * BQ96 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ96 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	VERY SATISFIED	371	0	371
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	356	0	356
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	147	147
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	100	100
Total		727	247	974
Unlicensed	VERY SATISFIED	95	0	95
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	95	0	95
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	42	42
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	48	48
Total		190	90	280
Total	VERY SATISFIED	466	0	466
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	451	0	451
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	189	189
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	148	148
Total		917	337	1254

Q57 During the first half of 2010, did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings, excluding hearings called on an emergency basis? * BQ57 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ57 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Yes	507	0	507
	No	0	208	208
Total		507	208	715
Unlicensed	Yes	135	0	135
	No	0	52	52
Total		135	52	187
Total	Yes	642	0	642
	No	0	260	260
Total		642	260	902

Q58 In the first half of 2010, did the agency tell you that you have a right to be heard at these hearings? * BQ58 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ58 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Yes	503	0	503
	No	0	202	202
Total		503	202	705
Unlicensed	Yes	120	0	120
	No	0	64	64
Total		120	64	184
Total	Yes	623	0	623
	No	0	266	266
Total		623	266	889

Q78 During the first half of 2010 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings? * BQ78 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ78 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	ALWAYS	365	0	365
	MOST OF THE TIME	194	0	194
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	0	78	78
	RARELY	0	68	68
	NEVER	0	64	64
Total		559	210	769
Unlicensed	ALWAYS	110	0	110
	MOST OF THE TIME	49	0	49
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	0	27	27
	RARELY	0	21	21
	NEVER	0	20	20
Total		159	68	227
Total	ALWAYS	475	0	475
	MOST OF THE TIME	243	0	243
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	0	105	105
	RARELY	0	89	89
	NEVER	0	84	84
Total		718	278	996

Licensed Caregivers				Unlicensed Caregivers			
Question #	A	B	C	A	B	C	
	In Compliance	Out of compliance	Total	In Compliance	Out of compliance	Total	
Q89	296	54	350	55	14	69	
Q93	654	118	772	166	26	192	
Q94	737	234	971	196	84	280	
Q96	727	247	974	190	90	280	
Q57	666	296	962	199	79	278	
Q58	639	315	954	172	102	274	
Q78	559	210	769	159	68	227	
Avg 57,58,78	621	274	895	177	83	260	
Totals	3035	927	3962	784	297	1081	

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	706	185	891	79.2%
	2	365	119	484	75.4%
	3	450	145	595	75.6%
	4	367	127	493	74.3%
	5	507	169	675	75.0%
	6	641	182	823	77.9%
Total		3035	927	3962	76.6%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	146	45	191	76.5%
	2	109	50	159	68.6%
	3	179	70	250	71.8%
	4	157	54	212	74.3%
	5	66	28	95	70.1%
	6	125	49	174	71.8%
Total		784	297	1081	72.5%
Overall Combined		3819	1224	5043	75.7%
	1	852	230	1082	78.7%
	2	474	169	643	73.7%
	3	629	216	845	74.5%
	4	524	181	705	74.3%
	5	573	197	770	74.4%
	6	766	231	997	76.8%
Total		3819	1224	5043	75.7%

C.1.3 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental, and educational needs).

This outcome measure combines a total of eight questions: Q59, Q61, Q64, Q60, Q62, Q65, Q63A, and 63B and all questions are given equal weight. Questions refer to information provided to the caregiver at the time of placement. For some foster parents this will have been earlier than the year we are assessing. *Thus, the Panel has agreed that only cases for which placement with the current caregiver occurred during FY10 will be factored into the outcome.* According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies only to licensed caregivers.

This outcome is restricted to only those foster parents whose children were placed with them in FY10. Questions related to children's developmental needs (Q63A& 63B) were added for the survey covering 2007 and subsequent years based on revisions to the Implementation Plan.

C.1.3 Outcome Results

Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) * Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) Crosstabulation				
Count		Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR)		
		2009	2010	Total
Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH)	January	0	35	35
	February	0	50	50
	March	0	51	51
	April	0	23	23
	May	0	14	14
	June	0	19	19
	July	79	1	80
	August	88	2	90
	September	56	1	57
	October	56	0	56
	November	43	0	43
	December	51	1	52
Total		373	197	570

Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2010? * BQ59 Outcome * LICTYPE Type

Crosstabulation

Count		BQ59 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Strongly agree	260	0	260
	Somewhat agree	110	0	110
	Somewhat disagree	0	28	28
	Strongly disagree	0	33	33
Total		370	61	431
Unlicensed	Strongly agree	89	0	89
	Somewhat agree	20	0	20
	Somewhat disagree	0	11	11
	Strongly disagree	0	11	11
Total		109	22	131
Total	Strongly agree	349	0	349
	Somewhat agree	130	0	130
	Somewhat disagree	0	39	39
	Strongly disagree	0	44	44
Total		479	83	562

Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2010? * BQ61 Outcome * LICTYPE Type

Crosstabulation

Count		BQ61 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Strongly agree	118	0	118
	Somewhat agree	70	0	70
	Somewhat disagree	0	18	18
	Strongly disagree	0	18	18
Total		188	36	224
Unlicensed	Strongly agree	35	0	35
	Somewhat agree	15	0	15
	Somewhat disagree	0	6	6
	Strongly disagree	0	6	6
Total		50	12	62
Total	Strongly agree	153	0	153
	Somewhat agree	85	0	85
	Somewhat disagree	0	24	24
	Strongly disagree	0	24	24
Total		238	48	286

Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2010? * BQ63A Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ63A Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Strongly agree	87	0	87
	Somewhat agree	35	0	35
	Somewhat disagree	0	12	12
	Strongly disagree	0	11	11
Total		122	23	145
Unlicensed	Strongly agree	18	0	18
	Somewhat agree	11	0	11
	Somewhat disagree	0	1	1
Total		29	1	30
Total	Strongly agree	105	0	105
	Somewhat agree	46	0	46
	Somewhat disagree	0	13	13
	Strongly disagree	0	11	11
Total		151	24	175

Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2010? * BQ64 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ64 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Strongly agree	127	0	127
	Somewhat agree	72	0	72
	Somewhat disagree	0	30	30
	Strongly disagree	0	23	23
Total		199	53	252
Unlicensed	Strongly agree	41	0	41
	Somewhat agree	16	0	16
	Somewhat disagree	0	7	7
	Strongly disagree	0	6	6
Total		57	13	70
Total	Strongly agree	168	0	168
	Somewhat agree	88	0	88
	Somewhat disagree	0	37	37
	Strongly disagree	0	29	29
Total		256	66	322

Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2010, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? *

BQ60 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ60 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Yes	302	0	302
	No	0	63	63
	Total	302	63	365
Unlicensed	Yes	88	0	88
	No	0	23	23
	Total	88	23	111
Total	Yes	390	0	390
	No	0	86	86
	Total	390	86	476

Q62 If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? *

BQ62 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ62 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Yes	143	0	143
	No	0	43	43
	Total	143	43	186
Unlicensed	Yes	36	0	36
	No	0	18	18
	Total	36	18	54
Total	Yes	179	0	179
	No	0	61	61
	Total	179	61	240

Q63B If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? *

BQ63B Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Count		BQ63B Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Yes	101	0	101
	No	0	25	25
	Total	101	25	126
Unlicensed	Yes	22	0	22
	No	0	4	4
	Total	22	4	26
Total	Yes	123	0	123
	No	0	29	29
	Total	123	29	152

Q65 If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after (she/he) was placed in your home? * BQ65 Outcome * LICTYPE Type
Crosstabulation

Count		BQ65 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type		In	Out	Total
Licensed	Yes	168	0	168
	No	0	50	50
	Total	168	50	218
Unlicensed	Yes	45	0	45
	No	0	18	18
	Total	45	18	63
Total	Yes	213	0	213
	No	0	68	68
	Total	213	68	281

Licensed Question #	All Regions			Unlicensed A	All Regions	
	A In Compliance	B Out of compliance	C Total		B Out of compliance	C Total
Q59	370	61	431	109	22	131
Q61	188	36	224	50	12	62
Q64	199	53	252	57	13	70
Q60	302	63	365	88	23	111
Q62	143	43	186	36	18	54
Q65	168	50	218	45	18	63
Q63A	122	23	145	29	1	30
Q63B	101	25	126	22	4	26
Totals	1593	354	1947	436	111	547

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	335	53	388	86.3%
	2	204	74	278	73.4%
	3	209	58	267	78.3%
	4	244	44	288	84.7%
	5	286	66	352	81.3%
	6	315	59	374	84.2%
Total		1593	354	1947	81.8%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	97	31	128	75.8%
	2	59	5	64	92.2%
	3	81	31	112	72.3%
	4	108	22	130	83.1%
	5	19	3	22	86.4%
	6	72	19	91	79.1%
Total		436	111	547	79.7%
Overall Combined		2029	465	2494	81.4%
	1	432	84	516	83.7%
	2	263	79	342	76.9%
	3	290	89	379	76.5%
	4	352	66	418	84.2%
	5	305	69	374	81.6%
	6	387	78	465	83.2%
Total		2029	465	2494	81.4%

D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.

This outcome has two screen questions (Q33 and Q38). Only foster parents who answer "yes" that they were caring for a child identified by the agency as "medically fragile" (Q33) are included in the calculation for this outcome measure. Q38 is a second screen question. Foster parents who answer "no" or "not sure" that they needed medical care for this child during the year, are considered "in compliance" if Q35 is "yes." Foster parents who answer "yes" to Q38, must also answer "yes" to Q39 to be considered in compliance.

A definition of "medically fragile children" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a third screen question (Q33A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

D.1.5 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)

Q33 In the first half of 2010 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile' * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type				
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total		
Q33 In the first half of 2010 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile'	Don't know	Count	8	5	13	
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	1.7%	1.0%	
	Yes	Count	159	34	193	
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.5%	11.3%	14.5%	
	No	Count	861	263	1124	
		% within LICTYPE Type	83.8%	87.1%	84.5%	
		Total		Count	1028	302
			% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Medically Fragile Children are those who have medical conditions that require the availability 24-hour skilled care from a health care professional or specially trained family or foster family member. These conditions may be present all the time or frequently occurring. If the technology, support and services being received by the medically fragile children are interrupted or denied, the child may, without immediate health care intervention, experience death.

Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.6%
	Yes	Count	84	12	96
		% within LICTYPE Type	52.8%	35.3%	49.7%
	No	Count	72	22	94
		% within LICTYPE Type	45.3%	64.7%	48.7%
	Total	Count	159	34	193
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Don't know	Count	1	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%
	Yes	Count	72	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	85.7%	83.3%
	No	Count	11	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.1%	16.7%
Total		Count	84	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%

Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

				Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	
				Yes	Total
Licensed	Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	82	82
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	98.8%	98.8%
		No	Count	1	1
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	1.2%	1.2%
		Total		Count	83
				% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	100.0%
Unlicensed	Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	9	9
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	90.0%	90.0%
		No	Count	1	1
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	10.0%	10.0%
		Total		Count	10
				% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	100.0%
Total	Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	91	91
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	97.8%	97.8%
		No	Count	2	2
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	2.2%	2.2%
		Total		Count	93
				% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	100.0%

Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? * Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?			
LICTYPE Type			Yes	No	Total	
Licensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	1.2%	.0%	1.2%
		Yes	Count	71	1	72
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	85.5%	100.0%	85.7%
		No	Count	11	0	11
		% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	13.3%	.0%	13.1%	
Total		Count	83	1	84	
		% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Unlicensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	8	2	10
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	80.0%	100.0%	83.3%
		No	Count	2	0	2
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	20.0%	.0%	16.7%
	Total		Count	10	2	12
		% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	1.1%	.0%	1.0%
		Yes	Count	79	3	82
			% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	84.9%	100.0%	85.4%
		No	Count	13	0	13
		% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	14.0%	.0%	13.5%	
Total		Count	93	3	96	
		% within Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? * BQ35 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Medically Fragile Child(ren): BQ35 Outcome				BQ35 Outcome		Total
LICTYPE Type			Out	In		
Licensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	0	72	72
			% within BQ35 Outcome	.0%	100.0%	86.7%
	No	Count	11	0	11	
		% within BQ35 Outcome	100.0%	.0%	13.3%	
	Total		Count	11	72	83
			% within BQ35 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	0	10	10
			% within BQ35 Outcome	.0%	100.0%	83.3%
	No	Count	2	0	2	
		% within BQ35 Outcome	100.0%	.0%	16.7%	
	Total		Count	2	10	12
			% within BQ35 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	0	82	82
			% within BQ35 Outcome	.0%	100.0%	86.3%
	No	Count	13	0	13	
		% within BQ35 Outcome	100.0%	.0%	13.7%	
	Total		Count	13	82	95
			% within BQ35 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * BQ39 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

				BQ39 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type				In	2	Total
Licensed	Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	82	0	82
			% within BQ39 Outcome	100.0%	.0%	98.8%
		No	Count	0	1	1
			% within BQ39 Outcome	.0%	100.0%	1.2%
	Total		Count	82	1	83
			% within BQ39 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	9	0	9
			% within BQ39 Outcome	100.0%	.0%	90.0%
		No	Count	0	1	1
			% within BQ39 Outcome	.0%	100.0%	10.0%
	Total		Count	9	1	10
			% within BQ39 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	91	0	91
			% within BQ39 Outcome	100.0%	.0%	97.8%
		No	Count	0	2	2
			% within BQ39 Outcome	.0%	100.0%	2.2%
	Total		Count	91	2	93
			% within BQ39 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D.1.5

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	20	4	24	83.3%
	2	12	0	12	100.0%
	3	12	2	14	85.7%
	4	10	1	11	90.9%
	5	7	3	10	70.0%
	6	11	1	12	91.7%
Total		72	11	83	86.7%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	2	0	2	100.0%
	2	3	1	4	75.0%
	3	2	0	2	100.0%
	4	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	5	2	0	2	100.0%
	6	1	1	2	50.0%
Total		10	2	12	83.3%
Overall Combined		82	13	95	86.3%

B.4.1

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Combined Licensed & Unlicensed	1	22	4	26	84.6%
	2	15	1	16	93.8%
	3	14	2	16	87.5%
	4	10	1	11	90.9%
	5	9	3	12	75.0%
	6	12	2	14	85.7%
Total	Total	82	13	95	86.3%

D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.

This outcome, as well as the related foster parent survey questions and decision rules, have been significantly revised from the 2007 survey. In the 2007 survey, SAY and PAAY were combined into a single outcome. Beginning with the 2008 survey, separate outcomes were measured for SAY and PAAY. A definition of "sexually aggressive youth" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a second screen question (Q115A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

For SAY, Q115 is a screen question. Only foster parents who answer "yes" that a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive was placed into their home in FY10 are included in the calculation of this outcome. Answers to both Q114 and Q118 must be YES to be considered in compliance

D.1.3 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)

QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.0%	.5%
	Yes	Count	95	12	107
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.2%	4.0%	8.0%
	No	Count	925	290	1215
		% within LICTYPE Type	90.0%	96.0%	91.4%
	Total	Count	1028	302	1330
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Sexually Aggressive Youth means those youth who have committed a sexually aggressive act or other violent act that is sexual in nature, whether or not they have been charged. This does not refer to developmentally normal exploration. The sexually aggressive acts should have involved power over the victim through age difference (normally four or more years) or force/coercion.

Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	1	2	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	16.7%	2.8%
	Yes	Count	59	4	63
		% within LICTYPE Type	62.1%	33.3%	58.9%
	No	Count	35	6	41
		% within LICTYPE Type	36.8%	50.0%	38.3%
	Total	Count	95	12	107
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? *
QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

				QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?			Total
LICTYPE Type				Refused	Yes	No	
Licensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Don't know	Count	0	0	1	1
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	.0%	.0%	33.3%	2.1%
	Yes		Count	1	43	2	46
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	97.9%
	Total		Count	1	43	3	47
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	No	Count		1		1
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?		100.0%		100.0%
	Total		Count		1		1
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?		100.0%		100.0%
Total	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Don't know	Count	0	0	1	1
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	.0%	.0%	33.3%	2.1%
	Yes		Count	1	43	2	46
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	97.7%	66.7%	95.8%
	No		Count	0	1	0	1
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	.0%	2.3%	.0%	2.1%
	Total		Count	1	44	3	48
			% within QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? * BQSAY Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

				BQSAY Outcome		Total
LICTYPE Type				In	2	
Licensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	43	2	45
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total		Count	43	2	45
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	No	Count		1	1
			% within BQSAY Outcome		100.0%	100.0%
	Total		Count		1	1
			% within BQSAY Outcome		100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	43	2	45
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	66.7%	97.8%
		No	Count	0	1	1
			% within BQSAY Outcome	.0%	33.3%	2.2%
	Total		Count	43	3	46
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? * BQSAY Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

				BQSAY Outcome		Total
LICTYPE Type				In	2	
Licensed	QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	43	0	43
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	.0%	95.6%
		No	Count	0	2	2
			% within BQSAY Outcome	.0%	100.0%	4.4%
	Total		Count	43	2	45
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count		1	1
			% within BQSAY Outcome		100.0%	100.0%
	Total		Count		1	1
			% within BQSAY Outcome		100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	43	1	44
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	33.3%	95.7%
		No	Count	0	2	2
			% within BQSAY Outcome	.0%	66.7%	4.3%
	Total		Count	43	3	46
			% within BQSAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D.1.3

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	6	2	8	75.0%
	2	6	1	7	85.7%
	3	8	3	11	72.7%
	4	4	2	6	66.7%
	5	7	2	9	77.8%
	6	12	4	16	75.0%
Total		43	14	57	75.4%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	0	2	2	0.0%
	2	0	1	1	0.0%
	3	0	1	1	0.0%
	4	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	5	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	6	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
Total		0	4	4	0.0%
Overall Combined		43	18	61	70.5%

D.1.3

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Combined	1	6	4	10	60.0%
Licensed & Unlicensed	2	6	2	8	75.0%
	3	8	4	12	66.7%
	4	4	2	6	66.7%
	5	7	2	9	77.8%
	6	12	4	16	75.0%
Total	Total	43	18	61	70.5%

D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive/aggressive (PAAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.

This outcome, as well as the related foster parent survey questions and decision rules, have been significantly revised for the survey covering 2007. In the 2007 survey, SAY and PAAY were combined into a single outcome. Beginning with the 2008 survey, separate outcomes were measured for SAY and PAAY. A definition of "physically assaultive youth" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a second screen question (Q110A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

For PAAY, Q110 is a screen question. Only foster parents who answer "yes" that a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive was placed into their home in FY10 are included in the calculation of this outcome. Answers to both Q109 and Q113 must be YES to be considered in compliance

D.1.4 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)

QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010 * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Crosstabulation					
			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	5	11
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	1.7%	.8%
	Yes	Count	202	25	227
		% within LICTYPE Type	19.6%	8.3%	17.1%
	No	Count	818	272	1090
		% within LICTYPE Type	79.6%	90.1%	82.0%
Total	Count	1028	302	1330	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive means those youth who have been observed to willfully assault a person with the potential of inflicting bodily harm to that person. This definition also includes youth who have made real threats to use a weapon or attempted to inflict harm to a person. Developmental level should be considered. A toddler who bites or hits is not considered physically assaultive.

Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	2	0	2	
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%	
	Yes	Count	150	16	166	
		% within LICTYPE Type	74.3%	64.0%	73.1%	
	No	Count	50	9	59	
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.8%	36.0%	26.0%	
	Total		Count	202	25	227
			% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? * QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?					
LICTYPE Type				Refused	Don't know	Yes	No	Total
Licensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	2	1	88	7	98
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	100.0%	89.8%	100.0%	90.7%
		No	Count	0	0	10	0	10
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	.0%	.0%	10.2%	.0%	9.3%
	Total		Count	2	1	98	7	108
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count			4		4
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?			50.0%		50.0%
		No	Count			4		4
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?			50.0%		50.0%
	Total		Count			8		8
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?			100.0%		100.0%
Total	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	2	1	92	7	102
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	100.0%	86.8%	100.0%	87.9%
		No	Count	0	0	14	0	14
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	.0%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	12.1%
	Total		Count	2	1	106	7	116
			% within QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? * BQPAY Outcome
*** LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation**

LICTYPE Type				BQPAY Outcome		Total
				In	2	
Licensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	88	7	95
			% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	41.2%	90.5%
		No	Count	0	10	10
			% within BQPAY Outcome	.0%	58.8%	9.5%
		Total		Count	88	17
				% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	4	0	4
			% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	.0%	50.0%
		No	Count	0	4	4
			% within BQPAY Outcome	.0%	100.0%	50.0%
		Total		Count	4	4
				% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	92	7	99
			% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	33.3%	87.6%
		No	Count	0	14	14
			% within BQPAY Outcome	.0%	66.7%	12.4%
		Total		Count	92	21
				% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%

QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? * BQPAY Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

LICTYPE Type				BQPAY Outcome		Total
				In	2	
Licensed	QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	88	10	98
			% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	58.8%	93.3%
		No	Count	0	7	7
			% within BQPAY Outcome	.0%	41.2%	6.7%
		Total		Count	88	17
				% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	4	4	8
			% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Total	Count	4	4	8
Total	QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	92	14	106
			% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	66.7%	93.8%
		No	Count	0	7	7
			% within BQPAY Outcome	.0%	33.3%	6.2%
		Total		Count	92	21
				% within BQPAY Outcome	100.0%	100.0%

D.1.4

D.1.4

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	21	15	36	58.3%
	2	11	10	21	52.4%
	3	14	8	22	63.6%
	4	11	6	17	64.7%
	5	12	12	24	50.0%
	6	19	8	27	70.4%
Total		88	59	147	59.9%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	1	3	4	25.0%
	2	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	3	2	1	3	66.7%
	4	0	2	2	0.0%
	5	1	1	2	50.0%
	6	0	2	2	0.0%
Total		4	9	13	30.8%
Overall Combined		92	68	160	57.5%

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Combined Licensed & Unlicensed	1	22	18	40	55.0%
	2	11	10	21	52.4%
	3	16	9	25	64.0%
	4	11	8	19	57.9%
	5	13	13	26	50.0%
	6	19	10	29	65.5%
Total	Total	92	68	160	57.5%

B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.

This outcome has a screen question (Q68). Only foster parents who answer "yes" that during the reference year, this child received mental health services are included in the calculation of this outcome.

An additional question (Q72) related to whether the foster parent feels that the change in clinician or treatment team was necessary to improve/maintain quality of care was added to the calculation of this outcome in the 2008 and later surveys.

B.4.1 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)

Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	1.2%	.5%
	Yes	Count	279	64	343
		% within LICTYPE Type	80.9%	77.1%	80.1%
	No	Count	64	18	82
		% within LICTYPE Type	18.6%	21.7%	19.2%
Total	Count	345	83	428	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q70 In 2010, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q70 In 2010, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	1	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%
	By just one clinician	Count	159	46	205
		% within LICTYPE Type	57.0%	71.9%	59.8%
	By a team of clinicians	Count	114	17	131
		% within LICTYPE Type	40.9%	26.6%	38.2%
Total	Count	279	64	343	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? * LICTYPE Type
Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	Don't know	Count	7	3	10	
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.5%	4.7%	2.9%	
	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	213	44	257	
		% within LICTYPE Type	76.3%	68.8%	74.9%	
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	59	17	76	
		% within LICTYPE Type	21.1%	26.6%	22.2%	
	Total		Count	279	64	343
			% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child? * LICTYPE Type
Crosstabulation

		Crosstabulation			
		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child?	Don't know	Count	3	1	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.1%	5.9%	5.3%
	Yes	Count	44	10	54
		% within LICTYPE Type	74.6%	58.8%	71.1%
	No	Count	12	6	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	20.3%	35.3%	23.7%
	Total	Count	59	17	76
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? * BQ71 Outcome * LICTYPE Type
Crosstabulation

				BQ71 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type				In	Out	Total
Licensed	Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	213	0	213
			% within BQ71 Outcome	82.9%	.0%	79.2%
		Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	44	12	56
			% within BQ71 Outcome	17.1%	100.0%	20.8%
Total		Count	257	12	269	
		% within BQ71 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Unlicensed	Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	44	0	44
			% within BQ71 Outcome	81.5%	.0%	73.3%
		Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	10	6	16
			% within BQ71 Outcome	18.5%	100.0%	26.7%
Total		Count	54	6	60	
		% within BQ71 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	257	0	257
			% within BQ71 Outcome	82.6%	.0%	78.1%
		Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	54	18	72
			% within BQ71 Outcome	17.4%	100.0%	21.9%
Total		Count	311	18	329	
		% within BQ71 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	56	1	57	98.2%
	2	31	2	33	93.9%
	3	45	1	46	97.8%
	4	27	4	31	87.1%
	5	41	1	42	97.6%
	6	57	3	60	95.0%
Total		257	12	269	95.5%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	12	0	12	100.0%
	2	2	2	4	50.0%
	3	12	0	12	100.0%
	4	13	2	15	86.7%
	5	5	1	6	83.3%
	6	10	1	11	90.9%
Total		54	6	60	90.0%
Overall Combined		311	18	329	94.5%

B.4.1

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Combined Licensed & Unlicensed	1	68	1	69	98.6%
	2	33	4	37	89.2%
	3	57	1	58	98.3%
	4	40	6	46	87.0%
	5	46	2	48	95.8%
	6	67	4	71	94.4%
Total	Total	311	18	329	94.5%

E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.

This outcome has three screen questions (Q125, Q126 and Q127). Only foster parents who indicate that the child has a sister or brother ("yes" to Q125), who was not placed in the foster parent's home in 2008 ("no" to Q126), and for whom contact was not disallowed by DCFS or the court ("no" to Q127) are included in the calculation of this outcome.

In the 2007 survey this outcome was measured by a single question which asked about visits and contacts with siblings. Beginning with the 2008 survey this question was separated into two questions, one about visits, and a second question about contacts. Beginning with the second quarterly survey in 2010, these questions were asked of each sibling not placed in the same foster home as the child.

Compliance is based on a total of two or more monthly visits or contacts. Responses are considered in compliance if there were two or more visits per month (Q128), two or more other forms of contact per month (Q128B), or through a combination of visits and contacts (combination of Q128 and Q128B).

E.2.1 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)

Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation					
		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	44	5	49
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.2%	1.6%	3.7%
	None	Count	118	57	175
		% within LICTYPE Type	11.4%	18.8%	13.0%
	One	Count	178	67	245
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.1%	22.0%	18.3%
	Two	Count	248	66	314
		% within LICTYPE Type	23.9%	21.7%	23.4%
	Three	Count	159	47	206
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.3%	15.5%	15.4%
	Four	Count	136	26	162
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.1%	8.6%	12.1%
	Five	Count	65	12	77
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.3%	3.9%	5.7%
	Six	Count	25	12	37
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.4%	3.9%	2.8%
	Seven	Count	24	7	31
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%
	Eight	Count	16	3	19
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.5%	1.0%	1.4%
	Nine or more	Count	24	2	26
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	.7%	1.9%
Total		Count	1038	304	1342
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q126 In the first half of 2010, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q126 In the first half of 2010, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home?	None	Count	395	80	475
		% within LICTYPE Type	60.9%	50.0%	58.7%
	One	Count	171	51	222
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.3%	31.9%	27.4%
	Two	Count	61	17	78
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.4%	10.6%	9.6%
	Three	Count	14	10	24
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	6.3%	3.0%
	Four	Count	4	1	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.6%	.6%
	Five	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	Six	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
	Seven	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	.6%	.1%
	Nine or more	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	649	160	809	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

SIBS Number of Siblings NOT in Household (Calculated Variable) * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
SIBS Number of Siblings NOT in Household (Calculated Variable)	1	Count	154	48	202
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.8%	37.5%	28.7%
	2	Count	171	30	201
		% within LICTYPE Type	29.7%	23.4%	28.6%
	3	Count	101	23	124
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.6%	18.0%	17.6%
	4	Count	75	7	82
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.0%	5.5%	11.7%
	5	Count	30	10	40
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.2%	7.8%	5.7%
	6	Count	11	7	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	5.5%	2.6%
	7	Count	13	1	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	.8%	2.0%
	8	Count	10	2	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	1.6%	1.7%
	9	Count	10	0	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	575	128	703	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling? * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling?	Refused	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	.7%	.0%	.6%
	Don't know	Count	10	2	12
		% within Type	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%
	Yes	Count	44	5	49
		% within Type	7.5%	3.7%	6.8%
	No	Count	526	129	655
		% within Type	90.1%	94.9%	91.0%
Total	Count	584	136	720	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

SIBLING 1: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * SIBLING 1: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 1: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Once a month	Twice a month	Three times a month	Four times a month	More than 4 times a month	Total
SIBLING 1: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Don't know	0	8	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	12
	Not at all	1	3	254	11	3	3	0	0	5	280
	Less than once a month	0	1	49	45	9	6	1	3	1	115
	Once a month	0	1	37	10	29	9	2	4	3	95
	Twice a month	0	3	37	5	12	21	5	0	11	94
	Three times a month	0	1	12	1	6	2	7	0	3	32
	Four times a month	0	1	40	7	5	4	3	16	10	86
	More than 4 times a month	0	2	21	5	6	8	1	8	74	125
Total		4	20	452	85	71	53	20	31	107	843

SIBLING 2: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * SIBLING 2: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 2: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Once a month	Twice a month	Three times a month	Four times a month	More than 4 times a month	Total
SIBLING 2: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Don't know	0	9	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	13
	Not at all	0	1	132	7	0	2	1	1	1	145
	Less than once a month	0	0	17	18	3	4	1	0	1	44
	Once a month	0	0	15	3	12	4	0	2	2	38
	Twice a month	0	0	17	5	3	8	2	0	4	39
	Three times a month	0	0	5	0	1	2	2	0	0	10
	Four times a month	0	0	20	3	2	1	1	7	1	35
	More than 4 times a month	0	1	5	4	0	4	0	3	29	46
Total		6	11	213	41	21	25	8	13	38	376

*Cells shaded in dark are NOT included in the calculation.

*Cells shaded more lightly are NOT in compliance.

All other unshaded cells are considered to be IN compliance.

SIBLING 3: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * SIBLING 3: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 3: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Once a month	Twice a month	Three times a month	Four times a month	More than 4 times a month	Total
SIBLING 3: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Don't know	0	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	Not at all	0	0	82	3	2	0	1	1	0	89
	Less than once a month	0	0	9	9	1	1	1	0	1	22
	Once a month	0	1	7	3	5	0	0	0	1	17
	Twice a month	0	0	8	1	0	4	0	1	0	14
	Three times a month	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	5
	Four times a month	0	0	8	3	1	0	0	1	1	14
	More than 4 times a month	0	1	3	0	2	2	0	1	17	26
Total		5	14	120	19	11	8	4	5	20	206

SIBLING 4: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * SIBLING 4: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 4: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Once a month	Twice a month	Three times a month	Four times a month	More than 4 times a month	Total
SIBLING 4: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Don't know	0	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Not at all	0	0	59	3	0	1	0	0	0	63
	Less than once a month	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Once a month	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
	Twice a month	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	6
	Four times a month	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	8
	More than 4 times a month	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	9
Total		6	10	78	8	2	2	1	1	5	113

*Cells shaded in dark are NOT included in the calculation.

*Cells shaded more lightly are NOT in compliance.

All other unshaded cells are considered to be IN compliance.

SIBLING 5: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? *
SIBLING 5: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 5: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?								
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Once a month	Twice a month	Three times a month	Four times a month	Total
SIBLING 5: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Don't know	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Not at all	0	0	26	0	0	1	0	0	27
	Less than once a month	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Once a month	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
	Twice a month	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	4
	Three times a month	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Four times a month	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
	More than 4 times a month	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total		4	7	33	2	2	3	1	1	53

SIBLING 6: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? *
SIBLING 6: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 6: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?						
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Once a month	Four times a month	Total
SIBLING 6: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Don't know	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
	Not at all	0	0	14	1	0	0	15
	Less than once a month	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Once a month	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Twice a month	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Three times a month	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Four times a month	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	More than 4 times a month	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total		3	6	16	3	2	1	31

*Cells shaded in dark are NOT included in the calculation.

*Cells shaded more lightly are NOT in compliance.

All other unshaded cells are considered to be IN compliance.

SIBLING 7: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * SIBLING 7: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 7: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?			
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Total
SIBLING 7: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	4	0	0	4
	Don't know	0	3	0	3
	Not at all	0	0	13	13
	More than 4 times a month	0	0	1	1
Total		4	3	14	21

SIBLING 8: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * SIBLING 8: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 8: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?				
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Total
SIBLING 8: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	2	0	0	0	2
	Don't know	0	3	0	0	3
	Not at all	0	0	6	1	7
Total		2	3	6	1	12

SIBLING 9: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * SIBLING 9: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation

Count		SIBLING 9: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?			
		Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Total
SIBLING 9: In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	1	0	0	1
	Don't know	0	2	0	2
	Not at all	0	0	3	3
Total		1	2	3	6

*Cells shaded in dark are NOT included in the calculation.

*Cells shaded more lightly are NOT in compliance.

All other unshaded cells are considered to be IN compliance.

Combined Results for Siblings 1 through 9 by Licensed vs Unlicensed

Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * Q128B In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? * Type Crosstabulation

Count			Q128B In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									
Type			Refused	Don't know	Not at all	Less than once a month	Once a month	Twice a month	Three times a month	Four times a month	More than 4 times a month	Total
Licensed	Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
		Don't know	0	6	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	11
		Not at all	1	1	172	6	2	4	1	1	2	190
		Less than once a month	0	0	29	24	5	2	2	1	0	63
		Once a month	0	1	27	7	11	4	0	6	3	59
		Twice a month	0	1	27	3	6	16	1	0	5	59
		Three times a month	0	0	4	0	2	3	2	0	0	11
		Four times a month	0	0	29	5	5	1	2	10	7	59
		More than 4 times a month	0	1	10	9	5	6	1	7	43	82
		Total	6	10	300	55	36	36	11	25	60	539
Unlicensed	Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Don't know		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
		Not at all		1	49	1	0	1	0	0	2	54
		Less than once a month		0	7	5	0	3	0	1	0	16
		Once a month		0	6	1	3	1	0	0	0	11
		Twice a month		1	6	0	2	3	1	0	0	13
		Three times a month		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
		Four times a month		1	6	2	1	1	0	4	0	15
		More than 4 times a month		0	5	0	0	1	0	0	10	16
		Total		6	80	9	6	10	3	5	12	131
Total	Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
		Don't know	0	9	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	14
		Not at all	1	2	221	7	2	5	1	1	4	244
		Less than once a month	0	0	36	29	5	5	2	2	0	79
		Once a month	0	1	33	8	14	5	0	6	3	70
		Twice a month	0	2	33	3	8	19	2	0	5	72
		Three times a month	0	0	5	0	2	3	4	0	0	14
		Four times a month	0	1	35	7	6	2	2	14	7	74
		More than 4 times a month	0	1	15	9	5	7	1	7	53	98
		Total	6	16	380	64	42	46	14	30	72	670

*Cells shaded in dark are NOT included in the calculation.

*Cells shaded more lightly are NOT in compliance.

All other unshaded cells are considered to be IN compliance.

E2.1 Outcome Results – At Least One Sibling – In Compliance Summary

Type	Region	R1 In	R2 In	R3 In	R4 In	R5 In	R6 In	R7 In	R8 In	R9 In
Licensed Caregivers	1	61	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	2	35	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	60	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	58	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	6	66	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		318	20	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	18	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	2	9	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	3	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	6	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		86	11	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Overall Combined		404	31	7	0	3	0	0	0	0
Type	Region	In								
Combined Licensed & Unlicensed	1	79	11	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
	2	44	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	3	82	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	57	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	63	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	6	79	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		Total	404	31	7	0	3	0	0	0

E2.1 Outcome Results – At Least One Sibling

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	71	85	156	45.5%
	2	37	43	80	46.3%
	3	62	46	108	57.4%
	4	38	43	81	46.9%
	5	63	53	116	54.3%
	6	73	69	142	51.4%
Total		344	339	683	50.4%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	23	18	41	56.1%
	2	12	11	23	52.2%
	3	25	15	40	62.5%
	4	21	13	34	61.8%
	5	6	6	12	50.0%
	6	14	16	30	46.7%
Total		101	79	180	56.1%
Overall Combined		445	418	863	51.6%
Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Combined Licensed & Unlicensed	1	94	103	197	47.7%
	2	49	54	103	47.6%
	3	87	61	148	58.8%
	4	59	56	115	51.3%
	5	69	59	128	53.9%
	6	87	85	172	50.6%
Total	Total	445	418	863	51.6%

Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome

D.1.6 Percentage of caregivers reporting children receive a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.

This informational outcome only has one question (Q53). However, this question was changed between the first and second quarterly surveys in FY10. The combined results are shown below.

D.1.6 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)

Q53N In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q53N In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	7	5	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	1.7%	.9%
	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	160	35	195
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.6%	11.6%	14.7%
	ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	662	208	870
		% within LICTYPE Type	64.4%	68.9%	65.4%
	ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	74	23	97
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.2%	7.6%	7.3%
	ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	47	12	59
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.6%	4.0%	4.4%
	ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	27	5	32
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.6%	1.7%	2.4%
	ONCE IN THE WHOLE YEAR	Count	35	10	45
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.4%	3.3%	3.4%
	NEVER IN 2009	Count	14	4	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%
Total		Count	1028	302	1330
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q53N In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child * BQ53 Outcome * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

				BQ53 Outcome		
LICTYPE Type				In	Out	Total
Licensed	Q53N In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	160	0	160
			% within BQ53 Outcome	19.5%	.0%	15.7%
		ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	662	0	662
			% within BQ53 Outcome	80.5%	.0%	65.0%
		ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	0	74	74
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	37.6%	7.3%
		ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	0	47	47
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	23.9%	4.6%
		ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	0	27	27
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	13.7%	2.6%
		NOT AT ALL IN FIRST SIX MONTHS	Count	0	35	35
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	17.8%	3.4%
NEVER IN 2010		Count	0	14	14	

			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	7.1%	1.4%
Total			Count	822	197	1019
			% within BQ53 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	Q53N In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	35	0	35
			% within BQ53 Outcome	14.4%	.0%	11.8%
		ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	208	0	208
			% within BQ53 Outcome	85.6%	.0%	70.0%
		ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	0	23	23
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	42.6%	7.7%
		ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	0	12	12
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	22.2%	4.0%
		ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	0	5	5
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	9.3%	1.7%	
		NOT AT ALL IN FIRST SIX MONTHS	Count	0	10	10
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	18.5%	3.4%	
		NEVER IN 2010	Count	0	4	4
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	7.4%	1.3%	
Total			Count	243	54	297
			% within BQ53 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Q53N In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	195	0	195
			% within BQ53 Outcome	18.3%	.0%	14.8%
		ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	870	0	870
			% within BQ53 Outcome	81.7%	.0%	66.1%
		ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	0	97	97
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	38.6%	7.4%
		ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	0	59	59
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	23.5%	4.5%
		ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	0	32	32
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	12.7%	2.4%	
		NOT AT ALL IN FIRST SIX MONTHS	Count	0	45	45
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	17.9%	3.4%	
		NEVER IN 2010	Count	0	18	18
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	7.2%	1.4%	
Total			Count	1065	251	1316
			% within BQ53 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Licensed Caregivers	1	188	40	228	82.5%
	2	104	26	130	80.0%
	3	122	34	156	78.2%
	4	100	26	126	79.4%
	5	133	38	171	77.8%
	6	175	33	208	84.1%
Total		822	197	1019	80.7%
Unlicensed Caregivers	1	48	9	57	84.2%
	2	34	7	41	82.9%
	3	56	13	69	81.2%
	4	38	16	54	70.4%
	5	25	2	27	92.6%
	6	42	7	49	85.7%
Total		243	54	297	81.8%
Overall Combined		1065	251	1316	80.9%
Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
Combined Licensed & Unlicensed	1	236	49	285	82.8%
	2	138	33	171	80.7%
	3	178	47	225	79.1%
	4	138	42	180	76.7%
	5	158	40	198	79.8%
	6	217	40	257	84.4%
Total	Total	1065	251	1316	80.9%

APPENDICES

Survey Results for FY10

The survey results are presented in the following pages for all 1,345 foster parents and relative caregivers who participated in the FY10 survey and fully or partially completed the survey. The data are crosstabbed to show results for licensed and unlicensed caregivers, and for the all respondents. Percentages reported in the tables that follow sum to 100% for each column in each table.

Note that the results presented here display the questions as they were asked in the most recent survey (interviews conducted between July and December 2010).

INTERVIEW RESULT CODE * Type Crosstabulation

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
INTERVIEW RESULT CODE	Fully Completed Interview	Count	1028	302	1330
		% within Type	98.8%	99.3%	98.9%
	Partial Complete	Count	13	2	15
		% within Type	1.2%	.7%	1.1%
Total	Count	1041	304	1345	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Quarter of 2010 * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Quarter of 2010	FY10 Q1	Count	266	99	365
		% within Type	25.6%	32.6%	27.1%
	FY10 Q2	Count	261	73	334
		% within Type	25.1%	24.0%	24.8%
	FY10 Q3	Count	272	59	331
		% within Type	26.1%	19.4%	24.6%
	FY10 Q4	Count	242	73	315
		% within Type	23.2%	24.0%	23.4%
	Total	Count	1041	304	1345
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

REGION -- SAMPLE * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
REGION -- SAMPLE	1	Count	230	58	288
		% within Type	22.1%	19.1%	21.4%
	2	Count	133	42	175
		% within Type	12.8%	13.8%	13.0%
	3	Count	160	70	230
		% within Type	15.4%	23.0%	17.1%
	4	Count	129	57	186
		% within Type	12.4%	18.8%	13.8%
	5	Count	173	27	200
		% within Type	16.6%	8.9%	14.9%
	6	Count	216	50	266
		% within Type	20.7%	16.4%	19.8%
Total	Count	1041	304	1345	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

PLACEMENT TYPE -- SAMPLE * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
PLACEMENT TYPE -- SAMPLE	DLR	Count	714	0	714
		% within Type	68.6%	.0%	53.1%
	PA	Count	327	0	327
		% within Type	31.4%	.0%	24.3%
	Unlicensed	Count	0	304	304
		% within Type	.0%	100.0%	22.6%
Total	Count	1041	304	1345	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q01 Between January and June of 2010 were you a foster parent or a relative caregiver caring for a child? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q01 Between January and June of 2010 were you a foster parent or a relative caregiver caring for a child?	Yes	Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between January and June 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between January and June 2010?	Don't know	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
	0	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	1	Count	353	200	553
		% within LICTYPE Type	33.9%	65.8%	41.1%
	2	Count	281	60	341
		% within LICTYPE Type	27.0%	19.7%	25.4%
	3	Count	168	31	199
		% within LICTYPE Type	16.1%	10.2%	14.8%
	4	Count	99	11	110
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.5%	3.6%	8.2%
	5	Count	58	2	60
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.6%	.7%	4.5%
	6	Count	34	0	34
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	.0%	2.5%
	7	Count	13	0	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%	1.0%
	8	Count	7	0	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%	.5%
	9	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	10	Count	11	0	11
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.0%	.8%
	12	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	14	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
15	Count	2	0	2	
	% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%	
20	Count	1	0	1	
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%	
21	Count	1	0	1	
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total		Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for between January and June 2010 in a guardianship or adopted by you? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for between January and June 2010 in a guardianship or adopted by you?	Don't know	Count	3	5	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	1.6%	.6%
	Some were and some weren't	Count	149	11	160
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.3%	3.6%	11.9%
	No	Count	889	288	1177
		% within LICTYPE Type	85.4%	94.7%	87.5%
	Total	Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Yes	Count	1040	304	1344
		% within LICTYPE Type	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
	Total	Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of January 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of January 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	0	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	One	Count	463	208	671
		% within LICTYPE Type	44.5%	68.4%	49.9%
	Two	Count	306	64	370
		% within LICTYPE Type	29.4%	21.1%	27.5%
	Three	Count	152	24	176
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.6%	7.9%	13.1%
	Four	Count	59	7	66
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.7%	2.3%	4.9%
	Five	Count	34	1	35
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	.3%	2.6%
	Six	Count	13	0	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%	1.0%
	Seven	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Eight	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	Nine	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	12	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Total	Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q06 Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q06 Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed?	Don't know	Count	23	9	32
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	3.0%	2.4%
	DLR	Count	709	13	722
		% within LICTYPE Type	68.1%	4.3%	53.7%
	Private Agency	Count	305	2	307
		% within LICTYPE Type	29.3%	.7%	22.8%
	Not licensed	Count	4	280	284
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	92.1%	21.1%
	Total	Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q17 For how many years have you been a Foster Parent or Relative Caregiver? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q17 For how many years have you been a Foster Parent or Relative Caregiver?	Don't know	Count	2	1	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	11.1%	.4%
	0	Count	14	1	15
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.8%	11.1%	2.0%
	1	Count	127	3	130
		% within LICTYPE Type	16.8%	33.3%	17.0%
	2	Count	107	2	109
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.1%	22.2%	14.2%
	3	Count	81	0	81
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.7%	.0%	10.6%
	4	Count	58	0	58
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.7%	.0%	7.6%
	5	Count	65	1	66
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.6%	11.1%	8.6%
	6	Count	42	0	42
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.5%	.0%	5.5%
	7	Count	23	0	23
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.0%	.0%	3.0%
	8	Count	33	0	33
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.4%	.0%	4.3%
	9	Count	18	0	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.4%	.0%	2.3%
	10	Count	25	1	26
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	11.1%	3.4%
	11	Count	12	0	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.6%	.0%	1.6%
	12	Count	7	0	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%	.9%
	13	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.5%
	14	Count	13	0	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	.0%	1.7%
	15	Count	10	0	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.0%	1.3%
	16	Count	11	0	11
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.5%	.0%	1.4%
	17	Count	7	0	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%	.9%
	18	Count	10	0	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.0%	1.3%
	19	Count	8	0	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.0%	1.0%
	20	Count	17	0	17

	% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	.0%	2.2%
21	Count	5	0	5
	% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%	.7%
22	Count	7	0	7
	% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%	.9%
23	Count	10	0	10
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.0%	1.3%
24	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.3%
25	Count	6	0	6
	% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	.0%	.8%
26	Count	4	0	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.5%
27	Count	3	0	3
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.4%
28	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.3%
29	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.3%
30	Count	5	0	5
	% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%	.7%
32	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
33	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.3%
34	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
35	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
36	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
37	Count	3	0	3
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.4%
38	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
40	Count	4	0	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.5%
42	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
43	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total	Count	757	9	766
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent? * LICTYPE
Type Crosstabulation**

		LICTYPE Type		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent?	Don't know	Count	3	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%
0		Count	11	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.5%	11.1%
1		Count	134	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.7%	55.6%
2		Count	112	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.8%	11.1%
3		Count	83	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	11.0%	11.1%
4		Count	54	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.1%	.0%
5		Count	64	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.5%	.0%
6		Count	43	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.7%	.0%
7		Count	24	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.2%	.0%
8		Count	30	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.0%	.0%
9		Count	17	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	.0%
10		Count	29	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.8%	11.1%
11		Count	12	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.6%	.0%
12		Count	6	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	.0%
13		Count	3	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%
14		Count	10	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.0%
15		Count	14	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.8%	.0%
16		Count	10	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.0%
17		Count	7	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%
18		Count	9	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%
19		Count	7	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%
20		Count	17	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	.0%
21		Count	5	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%
22		Count	7	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%
23		Count	10	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.0%
24		Count	3	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%
25		Count	5	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%
26		Count	4	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%
27		Count	2	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%
28		Count	2	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%

29	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.3%
30	Count	4	0	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.5%
32	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
33	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
34	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
35	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
36	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
37	Count	3	0	3
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.4%
38	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
40	Count	4	0	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.5%
43	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	757	9	766
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q07N In the first half of 2010, did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q07N In the first half of 2010, did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you?	Refused	Count	2	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%
	Don't know	Count	6	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.7%
	Yes	Count	601	53
		% within LICTYPE Type	57.7%	17.4%
	No	Count	432	249
		% within LICTYPE Type	41.5%	81.9%
Total		Count	1041	304
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%

Q40A I am going to refer to the child you chose as 'this child.' Do you have a child in mind? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q40A I am going to refer to the child you chose as 'this child.' Do you have a child in mind?	Press ENTER to Continue	Count	577	96
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	577	96
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%

Q40B The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the first half of 2010. * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q40B The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the first half of 2010.	Press ENTER to Continue	Count	464	208	672
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	464	208	672
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q40 Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q40 Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Male	Count	540	151	691
		% within LICTYPE Type	51.9%	49.7%	51.4%
	Female	Count	499	153	652
		% within LICTYPE Type	48.0%	50.3%	48.5%
Total		Count	1040	304	1344
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years) * LICTYPE Type
Crosstabulation**

		LICTYPE Type		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years)	Refused	Count	1	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%
	Don't know	Count	1	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%
	0	Count	38	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.7%	4.3%
	1	Count	152	48
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.6%	15.8%
	2	Count	104	40
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.0%	13.2%
	3	Count	79	34
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.6%	11.2%
	4	Count	61	20
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.9%	6.6%
	5	Count	50	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.8%	5.3%
	6	Count	41	21
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.9%	6.9%
	7	Count	38	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.7%	2.3%
	8	Count	60	11
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.8%	3.6%
	9	Count	28	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.7%	3.0%
	10	Count	38	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.7%	5.6%
	11	Count	42	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.0%	2.6%
	12	Count	30	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.9%	2.6%
	13	Count	39	11
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.8%	3.6%
	14	Count	52	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.0%	2.6%
	15	Count	46	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.4%	2.6%
	16	Count	48	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.6%	3.9%
	17	Count	53	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.1%	4.3%
	18	Count	36	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.5%	.0%
	19	Count	1	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%
	20	Count	1	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%
Total		Count	1039	304
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%

Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) *
LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH)	Refused	Count	1	0
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%
	Don't know	Count	26	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.5%	1.0%
	January	Count	69	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.6%	5.6%
	February	Count	87	31
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.4%	10.2%
	March	Count	83	25
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.0%	8.2%
	April	Count	74	32
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.1%	10.5%
	May	Count	86	31
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.3%	10.2%
	June	Count	94	38
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.0%	12.5%
	July	Count	89	21
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.6%	6.9%
	August	Count	109	23
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.5%	7.6%
	September	Count	85	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.2%	5.9%
	October	Count	79	21
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.6%	6.9%
	November	Count	77	15
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.4%	4.9%
	December	Count	80	29
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.7%	9.5%
Total		Count	1039	304
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%

Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) *
LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR)	Don't know	Count	11	1	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.3%	.9%
	1995	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	.3%	.1%
	1996	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	1997	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	1998	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	1999	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
	2000	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	.3%	.1%
	2001	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	2002	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	2003	Count	4	1	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.3%	.4%
	2004	Count	14	2	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.7%	1.2%
	2005	Count	23	4	27
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	1.3%	2.0%
	2006	Count	42	11	53
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.0%	3.6%	3.9%
	2007	Count	60	19	79
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.8%	6.3%	5.9%
	2008	Count	217	50	267
		% within LICTYPE Type	20.9%	16.4%	19.9%
	2009	Count	509	165	674
		% within LICTYPE Type	49.0%	54.3%	50.2%
	2010	Count	149	49	198
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.3%	16.1%	14.7%
Total		Count	1039	304	1343
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cethnicity Summary of Child Ethnicity * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Cethnicity Summary of Child Ethnicity	Native American	Count	178	45	223
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.1%	14.8%	16.6%
	African American	Count	151	31	182
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.5%	10.2%	13.5%
	Asian/PI	Count	34	5	39
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	1.6%	2.9%
	Hispanic	Count	138	34	172
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.3%	11.2%	12.8%
	White	Count	492	176	668
		% within LICTYPE Type	47.3%	57.9%	49.7%
	Other	Count	48	13	61
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.6%	4.3%	4.5%
Total	Count	1041	304	1345	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

The following question was NOT asked in the FY10 Q1 survey.

Q44N Are you related to this child? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q44N Are you related to this child?	Yes	Count	21	166	187
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.7%	81.0%	19.1%
	No	Count	751	39	790
		% within LICTYPE Type	97.3%	19.0%	80.9%
Total		Count	772	205	977
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	44	5	49
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.2%	1.6%	3.7%
	None	Count	118	57	175
		% within LICTYPE Type	11.4%	18.8%	13.0%
	One	Count	178	67	245
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.1%	22.0%	18.3%
	Two	Count	248	66	314
		% within LICTYPE Type	23.9%	21.7%	23.4%
	Three	Count	159	47	206
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.3%	15.5%	15.4%
	Four	Count	136	26	162
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.1%	8.6%	12.1%
	Five	Count	65	12	77
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.3%	3.9%	5.7%
	Six	Count	25	12	37
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.4%	3.9%	2.8%
	Seven	Count	24	7	31
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%
Eight	Count	16	3	19	
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.5%	1.0%	1.4%	
Nine or more	Count	24	2	26	
	% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	.7%	1.9%	
Total	Count	1038	304	1342	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q126 In the first half of 2010, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q126 In the first half of 2010, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home?	None	Count	395	80	475
		% within LICTYPE Type	60.9%	50.0%	58.7%
	One	Count	171	51	222
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.3%	31.9%	27.4%
	Two	Count	61	17	78
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.4%	10.6%	9.6%
	Three	Count	14	10	24
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	6.3%	3.0%
	Four	Count	4	1	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.6%	.6%
	Five	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	Six	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
	Seven	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	.6%	.1%
	Nine or more	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	649	160	809	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

SIBS Number of Siblings NOT in Household (Calculated Variable) * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
SIBS Number of Siblings NOT in Household (Calculated Variable)	1	Count	154	48	202
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.8%	37.5%	28.7%
	2	Count	171	30	201
		% within LICTYPE Type	29.7%	23.4%	28.6%
	3	Count	101	23	124
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.6%	18.0%	17.6%
	4	Count	75	7	82
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.0%	5.5%	11.7%
	5	Count	30	10	40
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.2%	7.8%	5.7%
	6	Count	11	7	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	5.5%	2.6%
	7	Count	13	1	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	.8%	2.0%
	8	Count	10	2	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	1.6%	1.7%
	9	Count	10	0	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	575	128	703	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q125B Is the (youngest/next youngest) sibling a full, half, or step sibling? *
Type Crosstabulation

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q125B Is the (youngest/next youngest) sibling a full, half, or step sibling?	Refused	Count	6	1	7
		% within Type	.9%	.6%	.9%
	Don't know	Count	63	7	70
		% within Type	9.8%	4.5%	8.7%
	Full	Count	220	36	256
		% within Type	34.1%	23.2%	32.0%
	Half	Count	354	108	462
		% within Type	54.8%	69.7%	57.7%
	Step	Count	3	3	6
		% within Type	.5%	1.9%	.7%
	Total	Count	646	155	801
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q125C How old is this sibling? * Type Crosstabulation

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q125C How old is this sibling?	Refused	Count	5	1	6
		% within Type	.8%	.6%	.7%
	Don't know	Count	108	21	129
		% within Type	16.7%	13.5%	16.1%
	1	Count	65	19	84
		% within Type	10.1%	12.3%	10.5%
	2	Count	31	7	38
		% within Type	4.8%	4.5%	4.7%
	3	Count	43	10	53
		% within Type	6.7%	6.5%	6.6%
	4	Count	45	10	55
		% within Type	7.0%	6.5%	6.9%
	5	Count	32	11	43
		% within Type	5.0%	7.1%	5.4%
	6	Count	41	10	51
		% within Type	6.3%	6.5%	6.4%
	7	Count	26	6	32
		% within Type	4.0%	3.9%	4.0%
	8	Count	21	6	27
		% within Type	3.3%	3.9%	3.4%
	9	Count	21	6	27
		% within Type	3.3%	3.9%	3.4%
	10	Count	21	6	27
		% within Type	3.3%	3.9%	3.4%
	11	Count	10	3	13
		% within Type	1.5%	1.9%	1.6%
	12	Count	18	6	24
		% within Type	2.8%	3.9%	3.0%
	13	Count	26	1	27
		% within Type	4.0%	.6%	3.4%
	14	Count	17	2	19
		% within Type	2.6%	1.3%	2.4%
	15	Count	23	3	26
		% within Type	3.6%	1.9%	3.2%
	16	Count	21	4	25
		% within Type	3.3%	2.6%	3.1%
	17	Count	11	4	15
		% within Type	1.7%	2.6%	1.9%

18	Count	20	8	28
	% within Type	3.1%	5.2%	3.5%
19	Count	8	3	11
	% within Type	1.2%	1.9%	1.4%
20	Count	12	2	14
	% within Type	1.9%	1.3%	1.7%
21	Count	7	0	7
	% within Type	1.1%	.0%	.9%
22	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
23	Count	4	3	7
	% within Type	.6%	1.9%	.9%
24	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
25	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
26	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
27	Count	1	2	3
	% within Type	.2%	1.3%	.4%
29	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
30	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
31	Count	0	1	1
	% within Type	.0%	.6%	.1%
32	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
40	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
74	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	646	155	801
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q126A Where is the (youngest/next) sibling currently placed? (IWR: If there is only one sibling not place with the respondent you do not have to read the (youngest/next) phrase.) * Type Crosstabulation

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q126A Where is the (youngest/next) sibling currently placed? (IWR: If there is only one sibling not place with the respondent you do not have to read the (youngest/next) phrase.)	Refused	Count	5	1	6
		% within Type	.9%	.7%	.8%
	Don't know	Count	94	12	106
		% within Type	16.1%	8.8%	14.7%
	With their mother	Count	95	24	119
		% within Type	16.3%	17.6%	16.5%
	With their father	Count	41	22	63
		% within Type	7.0%	16.2%	8.8%
	With a relative or unlicensed family friend	Count	84	29	113
		% within Type	14.4%	21.3%	15.7%
	In another foster home	Count	177	27	204
		% within Type	30.3%	19.9%	28.3%
	In a group home	Count	4	2	6
		% within Type	.7%	1.5%	.8%
	Some other setting	Count	84	19	103
		% within Type	14.4%	14.0%	14.3%
Total	Count	584	136	720	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q126B What is the MAIN reason that the (youngest/next) sibling is not placed in your home with your foster child? * Type Crosstabulation

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q126B What is the MAIN reason that the (youngest/next) sibling is not placed in your home with your foster child?	Refused	Count	8	1	9
		% within Type	1.4%	.7%	1.3%
	Don't know	Count	21	2	23
		% within Type	3.6%	1.5%	3.2%
	They live too far apart	Count	9	1	10
		% within Type	1.5%	.7%	1.4%
	They don't get along	Count	5	1	6
		% within Type	.9%	.7%	.8%
	Your home doesn't have room for this sibling	Count	34	7	41
		% within Type	5.8%	5.1%	5.7%
	They can't live together due to safety issues	Count	31	5	36
		% within Type	5.3%	3.7%	5.0%
	They don't know one another	Count	32	5	37
		% within Type	5.5%	3.7%	5.1%
	You are not willing to take this sibling	Count	5	5	10
		% within Type	.9%	3.7%	1.4%
	The sibling was placed with you, but you asked they be moved due to behavioral issues	Count	10	2	12
		% within Type	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%
	The sibling needs specialized placement due to medical or other treatment needs	Count	14	2	16
		% within Type	2.4%	1.5%	2.2%
	The department didn't ask you to take this sibling	Count	136	30	166
		% within Type	23.3%	22.1%	23.1%
	You are not sure why	Count	13	5	18
		% within Type	2.2%	3.7%	2.5%
	Or some other reason (please specify)	Count	266	70	336
		% within Type	45.5%	51.5%	46.7%
Total	Count	584	136	720	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q126BCode * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q126BCode	1 Child has special needs	Count	12	2	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.9%	2.0%	2.8%
	2 Age-appropriate needs can't be met	Count	3	1	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	1.0%	.8%
	3 Health problems	Count	2	1	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	1.0%	.6%
	4 Behavioral problems	Count	16	2	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.9%	2.0%	3.5%
	5 Foster HH doesn't take girls/boys	Count	16	1	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.9%	1.0%	3.3%
	6 Too demanding for caregiver	Count	6	6	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.5%	5.9%	2.4%
	7 Living with parents/relative/family	Count	74	19	93
		% within LICTYPE Type	18.2%	18.8%	18.3%
	8 Children have not previously lived together	Count	44	6	50
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.8%	5.9%	9.8%
	9 Hostile child	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.4%
	10 Unwilling to take child	Count	8	2	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
	11 Child acting out/drugs	Count	2	1	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	1.0%	.6%
	12 Agency or court decision	Count	7	1	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	1.0%	1.6%
	13 Located too far away	Count	10	0	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.5%	.0%	2.0%
	14 No room for children	Count	22	2	24
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.4%	2.0%	4.7%
	15 Children adopted or grown	Count	71	18	89
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.4%	17.8%	17.5%
	16 Children have different families	Count	23	20	43
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.7%	19.8%	8.5%
	17 Children not in foster care	Count	50	11	61
		% within LICTYPE Type	12.3%	10.9%	12.0%
	18 Alleged abuse	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	19 Other	Count	11	1	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.7%	1.0%	2.4%
	20 NA/DK	Count	11	4	15
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.7%	4.0%	3.0%
	21 FP refuses to release child(ren)	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	1.0%	.2%
	22 Transfer to FP in progress	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	1.0%	.4%
	23 Child(ren) decision	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	1.0%	.4%
	24 Living with other foster family	Count	13	0	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.2%	.0%	2.6%
	25 Not licensed when sib put into foster care	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
Total		Count	407	101	508
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling? * Type Crosstabulation

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling?	Refused	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	.7%	.0%	.6%
	Don't know	Count	10	2	12
		% within Type	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%
	Yes	Count	44	5	49
		% within Type	7.5%	3.7%	6.8%
	No	Count	526	129	655
		% within Type	90.1%	94.9%	91.0%
Total	Count	584	136	720	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Refused	Count	5	0	5
		% within Type	.9%	.0%	.7%
	Don't know	Count	11	3	14
		% within Type	2.0%	2.3%	2.1%
	Not at all	Count	190	54	244
		% within Type	35.3%	41.2%	36.4%
	Less than once a month	Count	63	16	79
		% within Type	11.7%	12.2%	11.8%
	Once a month	Count	59	11	70
		% within Type	10.9%	8.4%	10.4%
	Twice a month	Count	59	13	72
		% within Type	10.9%	9.9%	10.7%
	Three times a month	Count	11	3	14
		% within Type	2.0%	2.3%	2.1%
	Four times a month	Count	59	15	74
		% within Type	10.9%	11.5%	11.0%
	More than 4 times a month	Count	82	16	98
		% within Type	15.2%	12.2%	14.6%
Total	Count	539	131	670	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128B In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128B In a typical month in the second half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?	Refused	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	1.1%	.0%	.9%
	Don't know	Count	10	6	16
		% within Type	1.9%	4.6%	2.4%
	Not at all	Count	300	80	380
		% within Type	55.7%	61.1%	56.7%
	Less than once a month	Count	55	9	64
		% within Type	10.2%	6.9%	9.6%
	Once a month	Count	36	6	42
		% within Type	6.7%	4.6%	6.3%
	Twice a month	Count	36	10	46
		% within Type	6.7%	7.6%	6.9%
	Three times a month	Count	11	3	14
		% within Type	2.0%	2.3%	2.1%
	Four times a month	Count	25	5	30
		% within Type	4.6%	3.8%	4.5%
	More than 4 times a month	Count	60	12	72
		% within Type	11.1%	9.2%	10.7%
Total	Count	539	131	670	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128C Reason why no visits with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128C Reason why no visits with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact	Refused	Count	8	0	8
		% within Type	2.8%	.0%	2.2%
	Don't know	Count	25	9	34
		% within Type	8.7%	12.5%	9.4%
	Yes	Count	27	1	28
		% within Type	9.4%	1.4%	7.8%
	No	Count	228	62	290
		% within Type	79.2%	86.1%	80.6%
Total	Count	288	72	360	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128D Reason why no visits with siblings: Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128D Reason why no visits with siblings: Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department	Refused	Count	9	0	9
		% within Type	3.1%	.0%	2.5%
	Don't know	Count	25	9	34
		% within Type	8.7%	12.5%	9.5%
	Yes	Count	129	31	160
		% within Type	44.9%	43.1%	44.6%
	No	Count	124	32	156
		% within Type	43.2%	44.4%	43.5%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128E Reason why no visits with siblings: The children are physically far apart * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128E Reason why no visits with siblings: The children are physically far apart	Refused	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	2.1%	.0%	1.7%
	Don't know	Count	40	11	51
		% within Type	13.9%	15.3%	14.2%
	Yes	Count	129	33	162
		% within Type	44.9%	45.8%	45.1%
	No	Count	112	28	140
		% within Type	39.0%	38.9%	39.0%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128F Reason why no visits with siblings: Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128F Reason why no visits with siblings: Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable	Refused	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	2.1%	.0%	1.7%
	Don't know	Count	22	8	30
		% within Type	7.7%	11.1%	8.4%
	Yes	Count	117	34	151
		% within Type	40.8%	47.2%	42.1%
	No	Count	142	30	172
		% within Type	49.5%	41.7%	47.9%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128G Reason why no visits with siblings: Haven't got around to doing it * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128G Reason why no visits with siblings: Haven't got around to doing it	Refused	Count	6	2	8
		% within Type	2.1%	2.8%	2.2%
	Don't know	Count	14	9	23
		% within Type	4.9%	12.5%	6.4%
	Yes	Count	36	5	41
		% within Type	12.5%	6.9%	11.4%
	No	Count	231	56	287
		% within Type	80.5%	77.8%	79.9%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128H Reason why no visits with siblings: Scheduling conflicts * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128H Reason why no visits with siblings: Scheduling conflicts	Refused	Count	7	1	8
		% within Type	2.4%	1.4%	2.2%
	Don't know	Count	11	6	17
		% within Type	3.8%	8.3%	4.7%
	Yes	Count	37	14	51
		% within Type	12.9%	19.4%	14.2%
	No	Count	232	51	283
		% within Type	80.8%	70.8%	78.8%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128I Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling's parent/caregiver will not allow visits or contact * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128I Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling's parent/caregiver will not allow visits or contact	Refused	Count	7	0	7
		% within Type	2.4%	.0%	1.9%
	Don't know	Count	53	14	67
		% within Type	18.5%	19.4%	18.7%
	Yes	Count	50	16	66
		% within Type	17.4%	22.2%	18.4%
	No	Count	177	42	219
		% within Type	61.7%	58.3%	61.0%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128J Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling is a runaway * Type Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128J Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling is a runaway	Refused	Count	7	0	7
		% within Type	2.4%	.0%	1.9%
	Don't know	Count	22	5	27
		% within Type	7.7%	6.9%	7.5%
	Yes	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	1.4%	.0%	1.1%
	No	Count	254	67	321
		% within Type	88.5%	93.1%	89.4%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128K Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling was adopted * Type
Crosstabulation

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128K Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling was adopted	Refused	Count	7	0	7
		% within Type	2.4%	.0%	1.9%
	Don't know	Count	31	8	39
		% within Type	10.8%	11.1%	10.9%
	Yes	Count	82	22	104
		% within Type	28.6%	30.6%	29.0%
	No	Count	167	42	209
		% within Type	58.2%	58.3%	58.2%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128L Reason why no visits with siblings: Not sure why? * Type
Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128L Reason why no visits with siblings: Not sure why?	Refused	Count	8	1	9
		% within Type	2.8%	1.4%	2.5%
	Don't know	Count	17	2	19
		% within Type	5.9%	2.8%	5.3%
	Yes	Count	104	32	136
		% within Type	36.2%	44.4%	37.9%
	No	Count	158	37	195
		% within Type	55.1%	51.4%	54.3%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128M Were there any other reasons why this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with their siblings at least twice a month? * Type
Crosstabulation

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128M Were there any other reasons why this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with their siblings at least twice a month?	Refused	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	2.1%	.0%	1.7%
	Don't know	Count	17	6	23
		% within Type	5.9%	8.3%	6.4%
	Yes - specify	Count	131	33	164
		% within Type	45.6%	45.8%	45.7%
	No	Count	133	33	166
		% within Type	46.3%	45.8%	46.2%
Total	Count	287	72	359	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q128CCode * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q128CCode	1 Parent or other relative decision	Count	24	9	33
		% within LICTYPE Type	11.4%	17.6%	12.6%
	2 Caregiver decision	Count	13	5	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.2%	9.8%	6.9%
	4 Hurtful behavior by parents/siblings	Count	16	0	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.6%	.0%	6.1%
	5 Child doesn't know siblings	Count	23	15	38
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.9%	29.4%	14.5%
	6 Agency/counselor decision	Count	6	1	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.8%	2.0%	2.7%
	7 Scheduling problems	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.4%
	8 Too far away	Count	26	2	28
		% within LICTYPE Type	12.3%	3.9%	10.7%
	9 Little/no effort to arrange visit	Count	13	6	19
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.2%	11.8%	7.3%
	10 No contact info	Count	9	5	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.3%	9.8%	5.3%
	11 Child decision	Count	17	2	19
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.1%	3.9%	7.3%
	12 Agency unable to locate sibling	Count	5	0	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.4%	.0%	1.9%
	13 Other child not in foster care/adopted/different parents	Count	15	1	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.1%	2.0%	6.1%
	14 Other	Count	18	4	22
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.5%	7.8%	8.4%
	15 NA/DK	Count	7	0	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	.0%	2.7%
	16 Difficulty financing travel	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%	.8%
	17 Court order	Count	8	0	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.8%	.0%	3.1%
	18 Medical Issues	Count	7	1	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	2.0%	3.1%
	19 Private agency doesn't do this	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	211	51	262
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2010?	Refused	Count	5	1	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.3%	.5%
	Don't know	Count	5	3	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	1.0%	.6%
	Strongly agree	Count	666	220	886
		% within LICTYPE Type	64.7%	72.6%	66.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	224	41	265
		% within LICTYPE Type	21.8%	13.5%	19.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	58	15	73
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.6%	5.0%	5.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	71	23	94
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.9%	7.6%	7.1%
Total	Count	1029	303	1332	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2010, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2010, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	11	4	15
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	1.3%	1.1%
	Yes	Count	568	171	739
		% within LICTYPE Type	55.2%	56.4%	55.5%
	No	Count	129	55	184
		% within LICTYPE Type	12.5%	18.2%	13.8%
	Child placed before 2010	Count	319	73	392
		% within LICTYPE Type	31.0%	24.1%	29.4%
Total	Count	1029	303	1332	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2010?	Refused	Count	11	1	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.8%	.7%	1.6%
	Don't know	Count	9	5	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.5%	3.4%	1.9%
	Strongly agree	Count	336	81	417
		% within LICTYPE Type	56.1%	54.7%	55.8%
	Somewhat agree	Count	146	29	175
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.4%	19.6%	23.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	42	15	57
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.0%	10.1%	7.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	55	17	72
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.2%	11.5%	9.6%
Total	Count	599	148	747	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q62 If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q62 If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Refused	Count	5	0	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	.0%	.7%
	Don't know	Count	4	1	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.7%	.7%
	Yes	Count	306	72	378
		% within LICTYPE Type	51.1%	48.6%	50.6%
	No	Count	91	40	131
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.2%	27.0%	17.5%
	Child placed before 2010	Count	193	35	228
		% within LICTYPE Type	32.2%	23.6%	30.5%
Total	Count	599	148	747	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q63 Was this child identified as having 'developmental delays' at the time of placement? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q63 Was this child identified as having 'developmental delays' at the time of placement?	Refused	Count	2	1	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.3%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	11	5	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	1.7%	1.2%
	Yes	Count	398	75	473
		% within LICTYPE Type	38.7%	24.8%	35.5%
	No	Count	618	222	840
		% within LICTYPE Type	60.1%	73.3%	63.1%
Total	Count	1029	303	1332	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2010?	Refused	Count	5	0	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	.0%	1.1%
	Don't know	Count	6	4	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.5%	5.3%	2.1%
	Strongly agree	Count	216	36	252
		% within LICTYPE Type	54.3%	48.0%	53.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	99	25	124
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.9%	33.3%	26.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	37	5	42
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.3%	6.7%	8.9%
	Strongly disagree	Count	35	5	40
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.8%	6.7%	8.5%
Total	Count	398	75	473	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q63B If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q63B If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Don't know	Count	2	1	3	
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	1.3%	.6%	
	Yes	Count	204	39	243	
		% within LICTYPE Type	51.3%	52.0%	51.4%	
	No	Count	48	11	59	
		% within LICTYPE Type	12.1%	14.7%	12.5%	
	Child placed before 2010	Count	144	24	168	
		% within LICTYPE Type	36.2%	32.0%	35.5%	
	Total		Count	398	75	473
			% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q64A Was this child identified as having 'behavioral issues' including substance abuse or mental health issues at the time of placement? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q64A Was this child identified as having 'behavioral issues' including substance abuse or mental health issues at the time of placement?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	6	1	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.6%	.8%
	Yes	Count	277	53	330
		% within LICTYPE Type	41.8%	29.8%	39.2%
	No	Count	379	124	503
		% within LICTYPE Type	57.2%	69.7%	59.8%
Total	Count	663	178	841	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2010?	Refused	Count	6	7	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	3.4%	1.4%
	Don't know	Count	14	5	19
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.0%	2.4%	2.1%
	Strongly agree	Count	387	120	507
		% within LICTYPE Type	54.4%	58.3%	55.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	153	38	191
		% within LICTYPE Type	21.5%	18.4%	20.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	76	14	90
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.7%	6.8%	9.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	75	22	97
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.5%	10.7%	10.6%
Total	Count	711	206	917	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q65 If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after (she/he) was placed in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q65 If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after (she/he) was placed in your home?	Refused	Count	3	5	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	2.3%	.8%
	Don't know	Count	13	7	20
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	3.2%	2.1%
	Yes	Count	396	108	504
		% within LICTYPE Type	53.2%	49.5%	52.3%
	No	Count	115	46	161
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.4%	21.1%	16.7%
	Child placed before 2010	Count	218	52	270
		% within LICTYPE Type	29.3%	23.9%	28.0%
Total	Count	745	218	963	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the first half of 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the first half of 2010?	Refused	Count	3	2	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.7%	.4%
	Don't know	Count	20	9	29
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	3.0%	2.2%
	Yes	Count	271	62	333
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.3%	20.5%	25.0%
	No	Count	735	229	964
		% within LICTYPE Type	71.4%	75.8%	72.4%
Total	Count	1029	302	1331	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2010?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	Yes	Count	258	58	316
		% within LICTYPE Type	95.2%	93.5%	94.9%
	No	Count	12	4	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.4%	6.5%	4.8%
Total	Count	271	62	333	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis?	Don't know	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%	.6%
	Yes	Count	223	48	271
		% within LICTYPE Type	82.3%	77.4%	81.4%
	No	Count	46	14	60
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.0%	22.6%	18.0%
Total	Count	271	62	333	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner?	Yes	Count	189	43	232
		% within LICTYPE Type	84.8%	89.6%	85.6%
	No	Count	34	5	39
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.2%	10.4%	14.4%
Total		Count	223	48	271
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q67 Where there any other times in 2010, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67 Where there any other times in 2010, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.0%	.5%
	Yes	Count	345	83	428
		% within LICTYPE Type	33.5%	27.5%	32.2%
	No	Count	677	219	896
		% within LICTYPE Type	65.8%	72.5%	67.3%
Total	Count	1029	302	1331	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	1.2%	.5%
	Yes	Count	279	64	343
		% within LICTYPE Type	80.9%	77.1%	80.1%
	No	Count	64	18	82
		% within LICTYPE Type	18.6%	21.7%	19.2%
Total	Count	345	83	428	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q70 In 2010, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q70 In 2010, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	1	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%
	By just one clinician	Count	159	46	205
		% within LICTYPE Type	57.0%	71.9%	59.8%
	By a team of clinicians	Count	114	17	131
		% within LICTYPE Type	40.9%	26.6%	38.2%
Total	Count	279	64	343	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? * LICTYPE Type

Crosstabulation					
			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q71 During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	Don't know	Count	7	3	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.5%	4.7%	2.9%
	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	213	44	257
		% within LICTYPE Type	76.3%	68.8%	74.9%
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	59	17	76
		% within LICTYPE Type	21.1%	26.6%	22.2%
	Total	Count	279	64	343
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child? * LICTYPE Type

Crosstabulation					
			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child?	Don't know	Count	3	1	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.1%	5.9%	5.3%
	Yes	Count	44	10	54
		% within LICTYPE Type	74.6%	58.8%	71.1%
	No	Count	12	6	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	20.3%	35.3%	23.7%
	Total	Count	59	17	76
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q77N During the first half of 2010 were you aware of any shared case planning meetings that were scheduled about this child? * LICTYPE Type

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q77N During the first half of 2010 were you aware of any shared case planning meetings that were scheduled about this child?	Refused	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	Don't know	Count	11	5	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	2.5%	1.7%
	Yes	Count	513	133	646
		% within LICTYPE Type	67.1%	65.5%	66.8%
	No	Count	237	65	302
		% within LICTYPE Type	31.0%	32.0%	31.2%
Total	Count	764	203	967	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q78 During the first half of 2010 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q78 During the first half of 2010 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings?	Refused	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.4%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	8	4	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	1.7%	1.2%
	ALWAYS	Count	365	110	475
		% within LICTYPE Type	46.9%	47.4%	47.0%
	MOST OF THE TIME	Count	194	49	243
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.9%	21.1%	24.1%
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	Count	78	27	105
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.0%	11.6%	10.4%
	RARELY	Count	68	21	89
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.7%	9.1%	8.8%
	NEVER	Count	64	20	84
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.2%	8.6%	8.3%
Total	Count	778	232	1010	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q57_Old In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q57_Old In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings	Refused	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	1.0%	.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	1	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	1.0%	.8%
	ALWAYS	Count	112	46	158
		% within LICTYPE Type	42.3%	46.5%	43.4%
	MOST OF THE TIME	Count	47	18	65
		% within LICTYPE Type	17.7%	18.2%	17.9%
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	Count	27	11	38
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.2%	11.1%	10.4%
	RARELY	Count	35	6	41
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.2%	6.1%	11.3%
	NEVER	Count	26	10	36
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.8%	10.1%	9.9%
	WERE THERE NO COURT HEARINGS IN 2009	Count	16	6	22
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.0%	6.1%	6.0%
Total	Count	265	99	364	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q57 During the first half of 2010, did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings, excluding hearings called on an emergency basis? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q57 During the first half of 2010, did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings, excluding hearings called on an emergency basis?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	8	5	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	2.5%	1.3%
	Yes	Count	507	135	642
		% within LICTYPE Type	66.4%	66.5%	66.4%
	No	Count	208	52	260
		% within LICTYPE Type	27.2%	25.6%	26.9%
	NO COURT HEARINGS IN 2010	Count	40	11	51
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.2%	5.4%	5.3%
Total	Count	764	203	967	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q58_Old In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q58_Old In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings?	Refused	Count	0	2	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	2.2%	.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	1.1%	.3%
	ALWAYS	Count	105	42	147
		% within LICTYPE Type	42.2%	45.2%	43.0%
	MOST OF THE TIME	Count	31	10	41
		% within LICTYPE Type	12.4%	10.8%	12.0%
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	Count	15	2	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.0%	2.2%	5.0%
	RARELY	Count	35	13	48
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.1%	14.0%	14.0%
	NEVER	Count	63	23	86
		% within LICTYPE Type	25.3%	24.7%	25.1%
Total	Count	249	93	342	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q58 In the first half of 2010, did the agency notify you that you have a right to be heard at these hearings? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q58 In the first half of 2010, did the agency tell you that you have a right to be heard at these hearings?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	9	3	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	1.6%	1.3%
	Yes	Count	503	120	623
		% within LICTYPE Type	70.3%	64.2%	69.1%
	No	Count	202	64	266
		% within LICTYPE Type	28.3%	34.2%	29.5%
Total	Count	715	187	902	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q53_Old In the first half of 2009, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home? *

LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q53_Old In the first half of 2009, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home?	Don't know	Count	0	2	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	2.0%	.5%
	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	31	10	41
		% within LICTYPE Type	11.7%	10.1%	11.3%
	ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	169	67	236
		% within LICTYPE Type	63.8%	67.7%	64.8%
	ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	25	7	32
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.4%	7.1%	8.8%
	ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	13	5	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.9%	5.1%	4.9%
	ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	6	2	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	2.0%	2.2%
Total		Count	265	99	364
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q53 In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q53 In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	7	3	10
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	1.5%	1.0%
	MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH	Count	129	25	154
		% within LICTYPE Type	16.9%	12.3%	15.9%
	ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	493	141	634
		% within LICTYPE Type	64.6%	69.5%	65.6%
	ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	49	16	65
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.4%	7.9%	6.7%
	ABOUT ONCE EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	34	7	41
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.5%	3.4%	4.2%
	ABOUT ONCE IN SIX MONTHS	Count	21	3	24
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.8%	1.5%	2.5%
Total		Count	763	203	966
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q33 In the first half of 2010 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile' * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q33 In the first half of 2010 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile'	Don't know	Count	8	5	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	1.7%	1.0%
	Yes	Count	159	34	193
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.5%	11.3%	14.5%
	No	Count	861	263	1124
		% within LICTYPE Type	83.8%	87.1%	84.5%
Total	Count	1028	302	1330	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.6%
	Yes	Count	84	12	96
		% within LICTYPE Type	52.8%	35.3%	49.7%
	No	Count	72	22	94
		% within LICTYPE Type	45.3%	64.7%	48.7%
	Total	Count	159	34	193
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2010?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%	1.0%
	1	Count	58	9	67
		% within LICTYPE Type	69.0%	75.0%	69.8%
	2	Count	16	1	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	19.0%	8.3%	17.7%
	3	Count	6	2	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.1%	16.7%	8.3%
	5	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.4%	.0%	2.1%
	6	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%	1.0%
Total	Count	84	12	96	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%	1.0%
	Yes	Count	72	10	82
		% within LICTYPE Type	85.7%	83.3%	85.4%
	No	Count	11	2	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.1%	16.7%	13.5%
	Total	Count	84	12	96
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q38 In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	83	10	93
		% within LICTYPE Type	98.8%	83.3%	96.9%
	No	Count	1	2	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	16.7%	3.1%
	Total	Count	84	12	96
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q39 In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	82	9	91
		% within LICTYPE Type	98.8%	90.0%	97.8%
	No	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	10.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		83	10	93
	% within LICTYPE Type		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010 * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	5	11
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	1.7%	.8%
	Yes	Count	202	25	227
		% within LICTYPE Type	19.6%	8.3%	17.1%
	No	Count	818	272	1090
		% within LICTYPE Type	79.6%	90.1%	82.0%
Total	Count	1028	302	1330	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	Yes	Count	150	16	166
		% within LICTYPE Type	74.3%	64.0%	73.1%
	No	Count	50	9	59
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.8%	36.0%	26.0%
	Total		202	25	227
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	118	5	123
		% within LICTYPE Type	78.7%	31.3%	74.1%
	No	Count	32	11	43
		% within LICTYPE Type	21.3%	68.8%	25.9%
	Total		150	16	166
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR111 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR111 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?	Don't know	Count	0	3	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	18.8%	1.8%
	Yes	Count	108	8	116
		% within LICTYPE Type	72.0%	50.0%	69.9%
	No	Count	42	5	47
		% within LICTYPE Type	28.0%	31.3%	28.3%
Total	Count	150	16	166	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

R111A Was the plan in written form? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
R111A Was the plan in written form?	Don't know	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.7%
	Yes	Count	96	5	101
		% within LICTYPE Type	88.9%	62.5%	87.1%
	No	Count	10	3	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.3%	37.5%	11.2%
Total	Count	108	8	116	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

R111B Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
R111B Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan?	Yes	Count	93	8	101
		% within LICTYPE Type	86.1%	100.0%	87.1%
	No	Count	15	0	15
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.9%	.0%	12.9%
Total		Count	108	8	116
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.7%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%	.9%
	Yes	Count	98	8	106
		% within LICTYPE Type	90.7%	100.0%	91.4%
	No	Count	7	0	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.5%	.0%	6.0%
Total	Count	108	8	116	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.0%	.5%
	Yes	Count	95	12	107
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.2%	4.0%	8.0%
	No	Count	925	290	1215
		% within LICTYPE Type	90.0%	96.0%	91.4%
Total		Count	1028	302	1330
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	1	2	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	16.7%	2.8%
	Yes	Count	59	4	63
		% within LICTYPE Type	62.1%	33.3%	58.9%
	No	Count	35	6	41
		% within LICTYPE Type	36.8%	50.0%	38.3%
	Total	Count	95	12	107
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	.0%	1.6%
	Yes	Count	53	0	53
		% within LICTYPE Type	89.8%	.0%	84.1%
	No	Count	5	4	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.5%	100.0%	14.3%
	Total	Count	59	4	63
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR116 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR116 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?	Yes	Count	47	1	48
		% within LICTYPE Type	79.7%	25.0%	76.2%
	No	Count	12	3	15
		% within LICTYPE Type	20.3%	75.0%	23.8%
Total		Count	59	4	63
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

R116A Was the plan in written form? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
R116A Was the plan in written form?	Yes	Count	46	1	47
		% within LICTYPE Type	97.9%	100.0%	97.9%
	No	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.1%	.0%	2.1%
Total	Count	47	1	48	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

R116B Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
R116B Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.1%	.0%	2.1%
	Yes	Count	42	1	43
		% within LICTYPE Type	89.4%	100.0%	89.6%
	No	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.5%	.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		47	1	48
	% within LICTYPE Type		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.1%	.0%	2.1%
	Yes	Count	43	1	44
		% within LICTYPE Type	91.5%	100.0%	91.7%
	No	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.4%	.0%	6.3%
Total	Count	47	1	48	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010?	Refused	Count	3	3	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	1.0%	.5%
	Don't know	Count	3	4	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	1.4%	.6%
	VERY SATISFIED	Count	435	105	540
		% within LICTYPE Type	44.5%	36.6%	42.7%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	302	91	393
		% within LICTYPE Type	30.9%	31.7%	31.1%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	117	36	153
		% within LICTYPE Type	12.0%	12.5%	12.1%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	117	48	165
		% within LICTYPE Type	12.0%	16.7%	13.1%
Total	Count	977	287	1264	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	2.4%	.7%
	VERY SATISFIED	Count	371	95	466
		% within LICTYPE Type	38.0%	33.1%	36.9%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	356	95	451
		% within LICTYPE Type	36.4%	33.1%	35.7%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	147	42	189
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.0%	14.6%	15.0%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	100	48	148
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.2%	16.7%	11.7%
Total	Count	977	287	1264	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q93A What could the agency do to improve its support to you as a foster parent? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q93A What could the agency do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?	Refused	Count	6	2	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	1.0%	.9%
	Don't know	Count	73	26	99
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.9%	13.4%	10.7%
	Open Text Box	Count	655	166	821
		% within LICTYPE Type	89.2%	85.6%	88.5%
Total	Count	734	194	928	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q93ACode * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type		Total	
		1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed		
Q93ACode	1 Follow thru with agreements	Count	23	6	29
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.5%	3.6%	3.5%
	2 Increase # children licensed to home	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
	3 Communicate w/children more honestly	Count	61	18	79
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.2%	10.8%	9.5%
	4 More time off for FP	Count	17	3	20
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.6%	1.8%	2.4%
	5 Respond to requests/needs more promptly	Count	94	26	120
		% within LICTYPE Type	14.2%	15.7%	14.5%
	6 Provide more info on child to FP	Count	42	6	48
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.3%	3.6%	5.8%
	7 Listen to needs of FP	Count	44	10	54
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.6%	6.0%	6.5%
	8 More resources, including financial to meet child needs	Count	45	15	60
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.8%	9.0%	7.2%
	9 Fewer controls/more autonomy in decisions	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	10 Nothing/don't know/agency is doing a good job	Count	103	26	129
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.6%	15.7%	15.6%
	11 Work with other agencies more effectively	Count	4	3	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	1.8%	.8%
	12 Be less suspicious	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.6%	.2%
	13 Make payments on time/perform rate assessment more quickly	Count	18	2	20
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.7%	1.2%	2.4%
	14 Be more available	Count	6	1	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.6%	.8%
	15 Train caseworker better	Count	6	0	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%	.7%
	16 Provide info about svcs available/help FP with other resources/agencies	Count	1	5	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	3.0%	.7%
	17 Resolve custody issues/place children more quickly/speedy adoption	Count	17	0	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.6%	.0%	2.1%
	18 Better mental health care for children & FP	Count	7	1	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.6%	1.0%
	19 Offer courses at more convenient times or locations	Count	6	0	6
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	.0%	.7%
	20 More info about system, including courts/streamline procedures	Count	18	11	29
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.7%	6.6%	3.5%
	21 Smaller caseloads/more time to work with children/more home visits	Count	25	6	31
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.8%	3.6%	3.7%
	22 Hire caseworkers who care	Count	12	5	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.8%	3.0%	2.1%
	23 Change the system	Count	5	0	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	.0%	.6%
	24 More caseworker backup/more caseworkers	Count	8	1	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.6%	1.1%
	25 Investigate child's history more thoroughly at start	Count	22	3	25
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	1.8%	3.0%
	27 Reduce high rate of turnover among caseworkers	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.0%	.5%
	28 Offer more courses, including parenting skills, of high quality	Count	11	2	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.7%	1.2%	1.6%
	29 Be more inclusive, supportive of FP	Count	29	3	32
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.4%	1.8%	3.9%
	31 Other comments	Count	23	9	32
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.5%	5.4%	3.9%
	32 Make sure rules/practices are applied equitably	Count	4	1	5

	% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.6%	.6%
33 Enforce rules with bio parents	Count	3	2	5
	% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	1.2%	.6%
Total	Count	662	166	828
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q93B What could the social worker do to improve its support to you as a foster parent? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q93B What could the social worker do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?	Refused	Count	4	1	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.5%	.6%
	Don't know	Count	62	21	83
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.7%	11.2%	9.2%
	Open Text Box	Count	646	166	812
		% within LICTYPE Type	90.7%	88.3%	90.2%
Total	Count	712	188	900	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q93BCode * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	Total
Q93BCode	1 Become acquainted with/get to know child(ren)	Count	17	1	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.6%	.6%	2.2%
	2 Return phone calls/respond in timely manner	Count	106	22	128
		% within LICTYPE Type	16.2%	13.3%	15.6%
	3 Move to group care at about age 7	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
	4 Be more available to FP	Count	24	1	25
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.7%	.6%	3.0%
	5 Provide more help to identify needed resources	Count	27	7	34
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.1%	4.2%	4.1%
	6 Support FP decisions about child	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.6%	.2%
	7 Provide more info about permanency plans/court actions	Count	21	5	26
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%
	8 Nothing needed/support is adequate	Count	203	48	251
		% within LICTYPE Type	31.0%	28.9%	30.6%
	9 More honesty/professionalism/keep confidences	Count	16	3	19
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.4%	1.8%	2.3%
	10 Provide info about child history/needs	Count	23	2	25
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.5%	1.2%	3.0%
	11 Be more respectful, polite, supportive	Count	26	5	31
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.0%	3.0%	3.8%
	12 Listen to children/FP	Count	26	4	30
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.0%	2.4%	3.7%
	13 Better communication	Count	35	18	53
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.3%	10.8%	6.5%
	14 Resign position	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.4%
	15 Work to help child in their best interest	Count	12	5	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.8%	3.0%	2.1%
	16 Provide better training for caseworkers	Count	1	1	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.6%	.2%
	17 Be more clear about plans for the child's future	Count	4	1	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.6%	.6%
	18 Check in with child & FP weekly, follow up/keep FP informed	Count	45	17	62
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.9%	10.2%	7.6%

19 Smaller case loads	Count	9	7	16
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	4.2%	1.9%
20 Help FP understand how system works	Count	8	6	14
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	3.6%	1.7%
21 Process vouchers & payments more quickly/take action more quickly	Count	8	0	8
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.2%	.0%	1.0%
22 Schedule visits & meetings more conveniently	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.1%
23 Reduce caseworker turnover	Count	3	0	3
	% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	.0%	.4%
24 Caseworkers are at mercy of an abusive system	Count	4	1	5
	% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.6%	.6%
25 Other comments	Count	26	8	34
	% within LICTYPE Type	4.0%	4.8%	4.1%
26 More training	Count	3	3	6
	% within LICTYPE Type	.5%	1.8%	.7%
27 Support group	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
Total	Count	655	166	821
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2010? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2010?	Refused	Count	57	28	85
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.8%	9.8%	6.7%
	Don't know	Count	148	67	215
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.1%	23.3%	17.0%
	VERY SUPPORTIVE	Count	416	99	515
		% within LICTYPE Type	42.6%	34.5%	40.7%
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	Count	238	67	305
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.4%	23.3%	24.1%
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	Count	53	10	63
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.4%	3.5%	5.0%
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	Count	65	16	81
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.7%	5.6%	6.4%
Total	Count	977	287	1264	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q93C What could the agency do better to help you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q93C What could the agency do better to help you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child?	Don't know	Count	6	3	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.3%	14.3%	7.7%
	Open Text Box	Count	90	18	108
		% within LICTYPE Type	93.8%	85.7%	92.3%
Total		Count	96	21	117
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q93CCode * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q93CCode	1 Not censure/punish for differences of opinion	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	5.6%	.9%
	2 Educate child about ethnicity/race culture	Count	5	0	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.6%	.0%	4.7%
	3 Identify courses and other resources for teaching child	Count	31	4	35
		% within LICTYPE Type	34.8%	22.2%	32.7%
	4 Tell FP what should be done to help child	Count	5	2	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.6%	11.1%	6.5%
	5 Develop a better relationship with other agencies/groups	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	5.6%	.9%
	6 Listen to problems and provide solutions	Count	6	1	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.7%	5.6%	6.5%
	7 Identify child family/medical history and inform FP	Count	7	1	8
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.9%	5.6%	7.5%
	8 Advisory or mentor group for FP	Count	9	0	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.1%	.0%	8.4%
	10 Hire a more ethnically/culturally diverse staff	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.2%	.0%	1.9%
	11 Some agency personnel show racial/ethnic bias	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.0%	.9%
	12 Stop blaming ethnic background for behavior problems	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.0%	.9%
	13 Get agreement by caregivers and agency on child's needs	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	5.6%	.9%
	14 Return phone calls/be more responsive	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.0%	.9%
	15 Provide more financial resources	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.5%	.0%	3.7%
	16 Other comments	Count	9	4	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.1%	22.2%	12.1%
	17 Don't Know	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.4%	.0%	2.8%
	18 Take resp for education FP	Count	5	2	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.6%	11.1%	6.5%
	19 Satisfied with services	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	5.6%	.9%
Total		Count	89	18	107
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q87 In the first half of 2010, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help? *

LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q87 In the first half of 2010, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	2	5	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	1.7%	.6%
	Yes	Count	354	69	423
		% within LICTYPE Type	36.2%	24.0%	33.5%
	No	Count	620	213	833
		% within LICTYPE Type	63.5%	74.2%	65.9%
Total	Count	977	287	1264	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2010, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? * LICTYPE Type

Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2010, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.0%	.5%
	Don't know	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.6%	.0%	.5%
	YES, RIGHT AWAY	Count	201	27	228
		% within LICTYPE Type	56.8%	39.1%	53.9%
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	Count	95	28	123
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.8%	40.6%	29.1%
	NO	Count	54	14	68
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.3%	20.3%	16.1%
Total	Count	354	69	423	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? *

LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home?	Refused	Count	1	3	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.1%	1.0%	.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	2	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.7%	.3%
	Very adequately	Count	376	85	461
		% within LICTYPE Type	36.6%	28.1%	34.7%
	Somewhat adequately	Count	473	54	527
		% within LICTYPE Type	46.0%	17.9%	39.6%
	Somewhat inadequately	Count	108	8	116
		% within LICTYPE Type	10.5%	2.6%	8.7%
	Or very inadequately	Count	40	14	54
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.9%	4.6%	4.1%
	Already prepared - did not need the training	Count	24	30	54
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.3%	9.9%	4.1%
	Have not had any training	Count	4	106	110
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	35.1%	8.3%
Total	Count	1028	302	1330	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q27A What training could the agency provide that would help prepare you to be adequately prepared to care for the needs of foster children? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type				
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q27A What training could the agency provide that would help prepare you to be adequately prepared to care for the needs of foster children?	Don't know	Count	5	5	10	
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.5%	29.4%	7.8%	
	Open Text Box	Count	107	12	119	
		% within LICTYPE Type	95.5%	70.6%	92.2%	
	Total		Count	112	17	129
			% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q27ACode * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q27ACode	1 Eating disorders	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	2 Dealing with emotional/violent outbursts	Count	9	0	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.7%	.0%	7.8%
	3 Schedule training at convenient times/locations	Count	6	1	7
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.8%	8.3%	6.0%
	4 Attachment/bonding issues-transitions	Count	14	0	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.5%	.0%	12.1%
	5 Dealing with bio parents/visitation	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	8.3%	.9%
	7 Making and enforcing rules	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	8 Basic training for FP on how the system works	Count	10	1	11
		% within LICTYPE Type	9.6%	8.3%	9.5%
	9 One-on-one training	Count	0	1	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	8.3%	.9%
	10 Trauma training	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	11 Attention deficit disorders (ADD)/other learning disorders	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.9%	.0%	2.6%
	12 Private agencies need to provide state-mandated training	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.7%
	13 Provide daycare during training	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	14 Hire more competent/caring caseworkers	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	15 Prenatal alcohol/drug syndrome care	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.8%	.0%	3.4%
	16 Have experienced FP lead training sessions	Count	5	0	5
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.8%	.0%	4.3%
	17 Dealing with teenagers	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.9%	.0%	2.6%
	18 Reunification	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	19 Trainers need to be better trained	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.7%
	21 Culturally relevant training	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.9%	.0%	2.6%
	23 Sensory integration	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	24 Developmental milestones	Count	3	0	3
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.9%	.0%	2.6%
	25 More info about the child's family/medical history	Count	9	0	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.7%	.0%	7.8%

26 Dealing with the education system	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
27 Support services for FP	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.7%
28 Other	Count	9	2	11
	% within LICTYPE Type	8.7%	16.7%	9.5%
29 Agency training is adequate/state training is not	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
30 Any training (FP has none)	Count	2	2	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	16.7%	3.4%
31 Followup after training	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.7%
32 Offer training to relative caregivers	Count	1	3	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	25.0%	3.4%
33 Legal issues	Count	4	0	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	3.8%	.0%	3.4%
34 Illegal substance abuse	Count	0	1	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	8.3%	.9%
35 Adoption and long-term placement	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.9%	.0%	1.7%
Total	Count	104	12	116
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q144 Respondent Gender * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q144 Respondent Gender	Male	Count	89	25	114
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.7%	8.3%	8.6%
	Female	Count	939	277	1216
		% within LICTYPE Type	91.3%	91.7%	91.4%
Total	Count	1028	302	1330	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

NQ145 Caregiver Age * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
NQ145 Caregiver Age	20s	Count	40	21	61
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.9%	7.0%	4.6%
	30s	Count	245	61	306
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.0%	20.3%	23.2%
	40s	Count	356	82	438
		% within LICTYPE Type	34.9%	27.3%	33.2%
	50s	Count	245	85	330
		% within LICTYPE Type	24.0%	28.3%	25.0%
	60s	Count	115	43	158
		% within LICTYPE Type	11.3%	14.3%	12.0%
	70+	Count	20	8	28
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.0%	2.7%	2.1%
Total	Count	1021	300	1321	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live?	Don't know	Count	8	1	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	.8%	.3%	.7%
	A RURAL AREA	Count	314	78	392
		% within LICTYPE Type	30.5%	25.8%	29.5%
	A SMALL TOWN	Count	270	85	355
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.3%	28.1%	26.7%
	A SUBURB	Count	199	47	246
		% within LICTYPE Type	19.4%	15.6%	18.5%
	A CITY OR URBAN AREA	Count	237	91	328
		% within LICTYPE Type	23.1%	30.1%	24.7%
Total		Count	1028	302	1330
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rethnicity Summary of Respondent Ethnicity * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Rethnicity Summary of Respondent Ethnicity	Native American	Count	49	28	77
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.7%	9.2%	5.7%
	African American	Count	49	20	69
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.7%	6.6%	5.1%
	Asian/PI	Count	9	4	13
		% within LICTYPE Type	.9%	1.3%	1.0%
	Hispanic	Count	62	27	89
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.0%	8.9%	6.6%
	White	Count	841	212	1053
		% within LICTYPE Type	80.8%	69.7%	78.3%
	Other	Count	31	13	44
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.0%	4.3%	3.3%
Total	Count	1041	304	1345	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school?	Refused	Count	3	1	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	.3%	.3%	.3%
	None, or grades 1 through 8	Count	13	4	17
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%
	High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11	Count	33	24	57
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.2%	7.9%	4.3%
	High school graduate, grade 12 or GED	Count	202	89	291
		% within LICTYPE Type	19.6%	29.5%	21.9%
	Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school	Count	27	13	40
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.6%	4.3%	3.0%
	Some college, but no degree	Count	272	60	332
		% within LICTYPE Type	26.5%	19.9%	25.0%
	Associate (2-year) college degree	Count	143	49	192
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.9%	16.2%	14.4%
	College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other	Count	211	35	246
		% within LICTYPE Type	20.5%	11.6%	18.5%
	Post graduate training or professional school	Count	21	3	24
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.0%	1.0%	1.8%
	Masters degree	Count	92	21	113
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.9%	7.0%	8.5%
	Doctorate degree	Count	11	3	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	1.0%	1.1%
Total	Count	1028	302	1330	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q149N Household income in 2009 * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q149N Household income in 2009	Refused	Count	35	11	46
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.6%	5.4%	4.8%
	Don't know	Count	8	1	9
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.0%	.5%	.9%
	LESS THAN \$25,000	Count	65	36	101
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.5%	17.7%	10.5%
	BETWEEN \$25,000 AND \$50,000	Count	250	59	309
		% within LICTYPE Type	32.8%	29.1%	32.0%
	BETWEEN \$50,000 AND \$75,000	Count	174	47	221
		% within LICTYPE Type	22.8%	23.2%	22.9%
	BETWEEN \$75,000 AND \$100,000	Count	122	33	155
		% within LICTYPE Type	16.0%	16.3%	16.0%
	BETWEEN \$100,000 AND \$125,000	Count	59	4	63
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.7%	2.0%	6.5%
	BETWEEN \$125,000 AND \$150,000	Count	24	5	29
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.1%	2.5%	3.0%
	OR OVER \$150,000	Count	26	7	33
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%
	Total	Count	763	203	966
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QLAST_2007 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 about your foster care experiences? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QLAST_2007 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	83	10	93
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.0%	3.3%	6.9%
	No	Count	958	294	1252
		% within LICTYPE Type	92.0%	96.7%	93.1%
Total		Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QLAST_2008 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2008 about your foster care experiences? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QLAST_2008 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2008 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	144	22	166
		% within LICTYPE Type	13.8%	7.2%	12.3%
	No	Count	897	282	1179
		% within LICTYPE Type	86.2%	92.8%	87.7%
Total		Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QLAST_2009 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2009 about your foster care experiences? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QLAST_2009 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2009 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	168	22	190
		% within LICTYPE Type	16.1%	7.2%	14.1%
	No	Count	873	282	1155
		% within LICTYPE Type	83.9%	92.8%	85.9%
Total		Count	1041	304	1345
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

QLAST_2010 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2010 about your foster care experiences? * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

		LICTYPE Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QLAST_2010 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2010 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	17	7	24
		% within LICTYPE Type	3.3%	5.3%	3.7%
	No	Count	497	125	622
		% within LICTYPE Type	96.7%	94.7%	96.3%
Total		Count	514	132	646
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q155 Respondent Comments * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

Q155 Respondent Comments			LICTYPE Type Breakdown		
			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q155 Respondent Comments	Comments	Count	280	71	351
		% within LICTYPE Type	27.2%	23.5%	26.4%
	No Comments	Count	748	231	979
		% within LICTYPE Type	72.8%	76.5%	73.6%
Total		Count	1028	302	1330
		% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q155Code * LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation

			LICTYPE Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q155Code	1 Financial compensation/reimbursement	Count	22	3	25
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.0%	4.3%	7.2%
	2 Foster parents need better information from agency	Count	23	4	27
		% within LICTYPE Type	8.3%	5.7%	7.8%
	3 System and social workers should work for best interests of youth	Count	14	4	18
		% within LICTYPE Type	5.1%	5.7%	5.2%
	4 Private agencies do a better job	Count	1	0	1
		% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	5 Foster parents should be respected/supported	Count	13	3	16
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.7%	4.3%	4.6%
	6 Case workers are overloaded/need more case workers/less turnover	Count	13	1	14
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.7%	1.4%	4.0%
	7 Insufficient/inaccessible respite care	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	.0%	1.2%
	8 Children are moved too often/need stable environment	Count	4	0	4
		% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	.0%	1.2%
	9 Inadequate training/want more training	Count	18	4	22
		% within LICTYPE Type	6.5%	5.7%	6.4%
	10 Unpleasant experience working with agency/social workers	Count	13	2	15
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.7%	2.9%	4.3%
	11 Good experience working with social workers	Count	19	9	28

	% within LICTYPE Type	6.9%	12.9%	8.1%
12 Social workers are unprofessional	Count	7	8	15
	% within LICTYPE Type	2.5%	11.4%	4.3%
13 Satisfied with agency/foster home	Count	4	0	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	.0%	1.2%
14 Wants adoption procedures speeded up	Count	10	0	10
	% within LICTYPE Type	3.6%	.0%	2.9%
15 Do more to keep families together	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%	.6%
16 Some social workers are good/others are not	Count	12	3	15
	% within LICTYPE Type	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%
18 State's financial problems are making the system worse	Count	15	0	15
	% within LICTYPE Type	5.4%	.0%	4.3%
19 System/agency is not good	Count	11	3	14
	% within LICTYPE Type	4.0%	4.3%	4.0%
20 Other	Count	25	11	36
	% within LICTYPE Type	9.1%	15.7%	10.4%
21 NA/DK	Count	7	3	10
	% within LICTYPE Type	2.5%	4.3%	2.9%
22 19-month adoption process not working	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
24 System favors bio parents	Count	4	2	6
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	2.9%	1.7%
25 Social workers need to spend more time with child	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
26 Questionnaire is inadequate	Count	15	2	17
	% within LICTYPE Type	5.4%	2.9%	4.9%
27 Too much pressure to adopt child	Count	3	0	3
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.1%	.0%	.9%
28 State should not be able to monitor children who leave system	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
29 Grandparents/other relatives need support	Count	0	7	7
	% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	10.0%	2.0%
30 Appreciate receiving survey	Count	4	0	4
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	.0%	1.2%
31 Take action regarding problems and suggestions	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%	.6%
32 Privatized foster care system	Count	2	0	2
	% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	.0%	.6%
33 Need better coordination	Count	0	1	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.0%	1.4%	.3%
34 Require less training for FP with degrees	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
35 Provide counseling	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
36 Inexperienced SW get manipulated by children	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
37 Services needed for children>18	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
38 Place children with same ethnicity families	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
39 FP should be paid as professionals	Count	1	0	1
	% within LICTYPE Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
Total	Count	276	70	346
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Ratings of Support Provided by the Social Worker and the Agency

This section presents an analysis of ratings of satisfaction with the social worker (Q94) and with the agency (Q96) by number of children cared for (Q02), and by number of years as a licensed caregiver (Q17A).

The analysis included conducting a Chi-Square test of the relationship between the independent variables (Q02 and Q17A) with the dependent variables (Q94 and Q96) to determine whether there was a significant relationship between the number of children cared for (Q02) or the number of years as a licensed caregiver (Q17A) and the ratings of satisfaction with the social worker (Q94) and satisfaction with the agency (Q96).

The following pages display the crosstabulations of these variables and the results of the Chi-Square tests.

The results showed that while there is some variation in ratings, there is NO statistically significant relationship (at the $p < .05$ level) between the number of children cared for (Q02) or the number of years as a licensed caregiver (Q17A) and the ratings of satisfaction with the social worker (Q94) and satisfaction with the agency (Q96).

The main findings are:

- The majority of caregivers are satisfied with the quality of help and support provided by the child's **social worker** (43% very satisfied, and 33% somewhat satisfied).
- Almost one fourth (24%) of caregivers are dissatisfied with the quality of help and support provided by the child's **social worker** (12% very dissatisfied and 12% somewhat dissatisfied).
- The majority of caregivers are satisfied with the quality of help and support provided by **the agency** (43% very satisfied, and 36% somewhat satisfied).
- Less than one fourth (21%) of caregivers are dissatisfied with the quality of help and support provided by **the agency** (6% very dissatisfied and 15% somewhat dissatisfied).

Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010? * NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent

Crosstab							
			NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent				
			1 to 2 Years	3 to 5 Years	6 to 10 Years	11 or More Years	Total
Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010?	VERY SATISFIED	Count	100	78	62	59	299
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	42.0%	41.7%	46.6%	42.1%	42.8%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	82	60	44	45	231
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	34.5%	32.1%	33.1%	32.1%	33.1%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	28	26	15	17	86
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	11.8%	13.9%	11.3%	12.1%	12.3%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	28	23	12	19	82
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	11.8%	12.3%	9.0%	13.6%	11.7%
Total		Count	238	187	133	140	698
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.627 ^a	9	.977
Likelihood Ratio	2.658	9	.976
Linear-by-Linear Association	.008	1	.928
N of Valid Cases	698		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.62.

Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010? * NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?

Crosstab

			NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?				
			One	Two	3 to 4	5 or More	Total
Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010?	VERY SATISFIED	Count	223	120	137	57	537
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	44.0%	37.7%	47.2%	43.2%	43.1%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	167	103	83	39	392
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	32.9%	32.4%	28.6%	29.5%	31.4%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	53	43	39	18	153
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	10.5%	13.5%	13.4%	13.6%	12.3%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	64	52	31	18	165
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	12.6%	16.4%	10.7%	13.6%	13.2%
Total	Count	507	318	290	132	1247	
	% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.923 ^a	9	.281
Likelihood Ratio	10.977	9	.277
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.957
N of Valid Cases	1247		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.20.

Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010? * NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent

Crosstab							
			NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent				
			1 to 2 Years	3 to 5 Years	6 to 10 Years	11 or More Years	Total
Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010?	VERY SATISFIED	Count	81	70	58	53	262
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	33.9%	37.6%	43.3%	37.9%	37.5%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	106	66	48	47	267
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	44.4%	35.5%	35.8%	33.6%	38.2%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	35	23	20	23	101
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	14.6%	12.4%	14.9%	16.4%	14.4%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	17	27	8	17	69
		% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	7.1%	14.5%	6.0%	12.1%	9.9%
Total	Count	239	186	134	140	699	
	% within NQ17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests			
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.413 ^a	9	.080
Likelihood Ratio	15.298	9	.083
Linear-by-Linear Association	.017	1	.895
N of Valid Cases	699		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.23.

Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010? * NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?

Crosstab							
			NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?				
			One	Two	3 to 4	5 or More	Total
Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010?	VERY SATISFIED	Count	196	107	113	48	464
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	38.7%	33.4%	39.0%	36.4%	37.1%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	191	118	96	44	449
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	37.7%	36.9%	33.1%	33.3%	35.9%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	61	48	52	27	188
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	12.0%	15.0%	17.9%	20.5%	15.1%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	59	47	29	13	148
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	11.6%	14.7%	10.0%	9.8%	11.8%
Total		Count	507	320	290	132	1249
		% within NQ02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests			
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.885 ^a	9	.126
Likelihood Ratio	13.730	9	.132
Linear-by-Linear Association	.410	1	.522
N of Valid Cases	1249		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.64.

Survey QuestionnaireBraam OutcomesSurvey Questions

C.1.1 Adequate training of caregivers	Q27
C.1.2 Adequate support of caregivers	Q89, Q93, Q94, Q96, Q57, Q58, Q78
C.1.3 Adequate information for caregivers	Q59, Q61, Q64, Q60, Q62, Q65, Q63A, 63B
D.1.5 Medically fragile care	Q33, Q38, Q35, Q39 (includes screening Q)
D.1.3 SAY - Sexually Aggressive Youth	Q114, Q115, Q118 (includes screening Q)
D.1.4 PAAY - Physically Assaultive/ Aggressive Youth	Q109 Q110, Q113 (includes screening Q)
B.4.1 Behavioral health services	Q68, Q71, Q72 (includes screening Q)
E.2.1 Sibling visits	Q125, Q126, Q127, Q128, Q128B (includes screening Q)
D.1.6 Monthly caseworker visits	Q53 (Informational Outcome)

Foster Parent/ Caregiver Telephone Questionnaire

Introduction

BEGIN

Hello, is this the <Respondent Name> household? This is <interviewer name> from Washington State University.

- | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| 1. | Yes – Continue | 1 | |
| 2. | Not available – Callback | 2 | → Get best time to call back |
| 3. | Nonworking number | 3 | |
| 4. | Noncontact | 4 | |
| 5. | Communication barrier | 5 | |
| 6. | Other | 6 | |
| 7. | Ineligible | 7 | |

BEGN2

We mailed a letter recently about a survey we are conducting of foster parents and caregivers in Washington State. Do you remember receiving the letter? (IWR Prompt if R says NO: "It was a brief letter to let you know we would be calling.") The survey is being conducted to improve our understanding of the foster care system in Washington from the foster parent and caregiver perspective. May I speak with the main foster parent or child caregiver in your household?

- | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| 1. | Yes - Continue..... | 1 | |
| 2. | Not available - Callback..... | 2 | → Get best time to call back |
| 3. | Refusal..... | 3 | → Thank them for their time |

CELL

First, for safety reasons I need to ask if this a cellular phone?

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------|---|--|
| 1. | Yes - Continue..... | 1 | |
| 2. | No | 2 | |

CLSAF

Are you in a place where you can safely talk on the phone and answer my questions (that is you are not currently driving)? (IWR: If R cannot safely talk which included driving in a car then say "Sorry to have bothered you, we can call you back at another time." Set a GB General Call-Back.)

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------|---|---------------------|
| 1. | Yes - Continue..... | 1 | |
| 2. | No | 2 | → Schedule callback |

CONFD

Before we begin I want you to know that this interview is completely voluntary and has been approved by Washington State University. While parts of this interview may be monitored by my supervisor, your answers will be kept strictly confidential. If I come to any question you would prefer not to answer, just let me know and I'll skip over it. The questions will take about 20 minutes to complete.

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| 1. | Yes - Continue..... | 1 | |
| 2. | Not a good time - Callback..... | 2 | → Get best time to call back |
| 3. | Refusal..... | 3 | → Thank them for their time |

Background Questions

- Q1. First, I have just a few questions about your role as a foster parent or caregiver. Between January and June of 2010 were you a foster parent or a relative caregiver caring for a child?**
- 1. YES
 - 2. NO → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
 - D → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
 - R → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
- Q2. How many children placed in your home were you caring for between January and June of 2010?**
- _____ # CHILDREN (0 to 99)
- Q3. Was/were (the child/children) that you cared for between January and June of 2010 in a guardianship or adopted by you?**
- 1. YES → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
 - 2. Some were and some weren't
 - 3. NO
 - D
 - R
- Q4. Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home?**
- 1. YES
 - 2. NO → Skip to Q27 and then Code as Complete-Ineligible
 - D
 - R
- Q5. Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed with you, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of January 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010?**
- 1. One
 - 2. Two
 - 3. Three
 - 4. Four
 - 5. Five
 - 6. Six
 - 7. Seven
 - 8. Eight
 - 9. Nine
- Q6. Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed?**
- 1. DLR
 - 2. Private Agency
 - 3. Not licensed → SKIP to Q7N
 - 4. Not sure → SKIP to Q7N

Q17. For how many years have you been a Foster Parent or Relative Caregiver?

_____ # YEARS

Q17A. How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent?

_____ # YEARS

Q7N. In the first half of 2010, did you care for any children of a different race or ethnicity than you?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Throughout the survey when I say "first half of 2010" I mean the time period between January and June of 2010.

Child Demographics

IF Q5 >1 → For the remaining questions in this survey, we need to select one child, of the <Q05> children placed in your home in the first half of 2010. When I say "first half of 2010" I mean the time period between January and June of 2010. I am going to refer to the child you choose as "this child." Do you have a child in mind? (IWR: Press ENTER to Continue when R has Child in mind.)

IF Q5 = 1 → The next set of questions are about the one child placed with you, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the first half of 2010. Throughout the survey when I say "first half of 2010" I mean the time period between January 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010.

Q40. Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female?

1. Male
2. Female

Q41. How old is this child?

_____ Age in Years

Q42. In what month and year was this child placed in your home?

_____ Month _____ Year

Q43. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes this child. (IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.)

- 1 American Indian/Native American
- 2 Black or African American
- 3 Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
- 4 Hispanic or Latin American
- 5 Caucasian or white
- 6 Eskimo or Aleut
- 7 Multiple ethnicities
- 8 Other ethnicity
- 9 Not Sure

Q44N. Are you related to this child?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Sibling Visits

Q125A. How many sisters and brothers does this child have?

0. None → SKIP to Q59
1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more
- D. Not sure → SKIP to Q59

Q126. In the first half of 2010, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home?

_____ NUMBER OF SIBLINGS PLACED IN HOME

IF Q125 = Q126 → SKIP to Q59

IF Q125 > Q126 THEN CONTINUE TO Q126A

IF Not sure → SKIP to Q59

CALCULATE NUMBER OF SIBLINGS (Q125 – Q126) TO ASK NEXT QUESTIONS ABOUT

You said that _____ of this child's _____ siblings is/are not placed in your home. I'd like to ask a few questions about each of these siblings not placed in your home, starting with the youngest.

CYCLE THROUGH THE NEXT SEQUENCE OF QUESTIONS (Q125b THRU Q128C) FOR EACH SIBLING

Q125B. Is the youngest/next sibling a full, half, or step sibling?

1. Full
2. Half
3. Step
- D Don't Know

Q125C. How old is this sibling?

_____ Age in years (range 1 to 99) → IF => 18 SKIP TO Q59

Q126A. Where is the youngest/next sibling currently placed?

1. With their mother → SKIP to NEXT SIBLING OR TO Q127
2. With their father → SKIP TO NEXT SIBLING OR TO Q127
3. With a relative or unlicensed family friend
4. In another foster home
5. In a group home
6. Some other setting
7. Don't Know

Q126B. What is the MAIN reason that the youngest/next sibling is not placed in your home with your foster child? Is it because . . .

1. *They live too far apart*
2. *They don't get along*
3. *Your home doesn't have room for this sibling*
4. *They can't live together due to safety issues*
5. *They don't know one another*
6. *You are not willing to take this sibling*
7. *The sibling was placed with you, but you asked they be moved due to behavioral issues*
8. *The sibling needs specialized placement due to medical or other treatment needs*
9. *The department didn't ask you to take this sibling*
10. *You are not sure why*
11. *Some other reason _____*

Q127. Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling?

- 1 Yes → SKIP to next sibling or to Q59 if no more
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

Q128. In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? Would you say . . .

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

Q128B. In a typical month in the first half of 2010, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Would you say . . .

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

(ASK NEXT QUESTION ONLY IF RESPONSES TO Q128 AND Q128B TOGETHER TOTAL LESS THAN TWICE A MONTH; I.E., SUM OF Q128 AND Q128B IS < 2)

Q128C What are the main reasons that this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with this sibling at least twice a month? (CODE RESPONSE)

- | | | | |
|--|-----|----|----|
| A. This child doesn't want visits or contact | yes | no | DK |
| B. Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department | yes | no | DK |
| C. The children are physically far apart | yes | no | DK |
| D. Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable | yes | no | DK |
| E. Haven't got around to doing it | yes | no | DK |
| F. Scheduling conflicts | yes | no | DK |
| G. Sibling's parent/caregiver will not allow visits or contact | yes | no | DK |
| H. Sibling is a runaway | yes | no | DK |
| I. Sibling was adopted | yes | no | DK |
| J. Not sure why | yes | no | DK |
| K. Some other reason | yes | no | DK |
-

Adequate Information about Children's Needs

Next, I have a few more questions about this child in the first half of 2010, from January through June.

Q59. *Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2010?*

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q60. *If the child was placed with you in 2010, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?*

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2010
4. Not sure

[IF AGE OF CHILD IN Q41 IS <=4 YEARS → SKIP Q61 & Q62 (since they don't apply)]

Q61. *Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2010?*

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q62. *If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?*

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2010
4. Not sure

Q63. *Was this child been identified as having "developmental delays" at the time of placement?*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q64A
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q64A

Q63A. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2010?

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q63B. If the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2010
4. Not sure

Q64A. Was this child identified as having "behavioral issues" including substance abuse or mental health issues at the time of placement?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q67A
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q67A

Q64. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2010?

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q65. IF the child was placed in 2010, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2010
4. Not sure

Here are some more questions about this child in the first half of 2010, from July through December.

Same Behavioral Health Provider

Q67A. In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the first half of 2010?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

Q67B. Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2010?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

Q67C. Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

Q67D. Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

Q67. Where there any other times in 2010, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q77N
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q77N

Q68. Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q77N
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q77N

Q70. In 2010, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?

- 1 By just one clinician
- 2 By a team of clinicians
- 3 Not sure

Q71. During 2010, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child/your foster child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?

- 1 The same clinician/ treatment team → SKIP to Q77N
- 2 Different clinicians/ treatment teams
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q77N

Q72. In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child/your foster child

1. YES
2. NO
3. OR YOU ARE NOT SURE

Adequate Support - A

Q77N. During the first half of 2010 were you aware of any shared case planning meetings that were scheduled about this child/your foster child? These are meetings to plan for the placement, treatment needs, or future of the child and include persons beside the caseworker such as service providers, therapists or the child's parents or relatives. They may include ISSP meetings, multiple placement staffing, FTDM meetings, CHET staffings or case conferences, but NOT meetings that were called on an emergency basis.)

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q57
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q57

Q78. During the first half of 2010 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings? Would you say . . .

- 1 ALWAYS
- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER

Q57. During the first half of 2010, did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings, excluding hearings called on an emergency basis?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 OR WERE THERE NO COURT HEARINGS → SKIP to Q53

Q58. In the first half of 2010, did the agency notify that you have a right to be heard at these hearings?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 Don't Know

Adequate Support - B

Q53. *In the first half of 2010, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home, while the child was in your care? Would you say . . .*

1. MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH
2. ABOUT ONCE A MONTH
3. ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH
4. ABOUT ONCE EVERY THREE MONTHS
5. ABOUT ONCE IN SIX MONTHS → Read Statement Below
6. NOT AT ALL IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS OF 2010 → Read Statement Below
7. Not sure

If respondent answers #5 or #6: *You indicated that this child's social worker has not had a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child more often than once in the last six months. This is a serious violation of DSHS policy, and I'd like to encourage you to contact the social worker's supervisor to report this violation. If you do not feel comfortable doing so, here is the phone number for DSHS Constituent Relations so that you can report the violation: 1-800-737-0617.*

Medically Fragile Placements

Q33. *In the first half of 2010 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as "medically fragile" (include only those children who remain in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship)*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

I'd like to read the definition of a "medically fragile" child to you:

Medically Fragile Children *are those who have medical conditions that require the availability 24-hour skilled care from a health care professional or specially trained family or foster family member. These conditions may be present all the time or frequently occurring. If the technology, support and services being received by the medically fragile children are interrupted or denied, the child may, without immediate health care intervention, experience death.*

Q33A. *Given this definition of "medically fragile" children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

Q34. *How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2010?*

_____ # MEDICALLY FRAGILE CHILDREN

Q35. Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for this/these medically fragile child(ren)?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Q38. In the first half of 2010 did you need medical care for this/these child(ren)?

1. YES
2. NO → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

Q39. In 2010 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for this/these child(ren)?

1. YES
2. NO
3. Not sure

P-A-Y Questions

QR110. Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

I'd like to read the definition of a "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" child to you:

Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive means those youth who have been observed to willfully assault a person with the potential of inflicting bodily harm to that person. This definition also includes youth who have made real threats to use a weapon or attempted to inflict harm to a person. Developmental level should be considered. A toddler who bites or hits is not considered physically assaultive.

Q110A. Given this definition of "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

QR109. Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR111. Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

QR111A. Was the plan in written form?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR111B. Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR113. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

S-A-Y Questions

QR115. Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2010, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q94
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q94

I'd like to read the definition of a "Sexually Aggressive" child to you:

Sexually Aggressive Youth means those youth who have committed a sexually aggressive act or other violent act that is sexual in nature, whether or not they have been charged. This does not refer to developmentally normal exploration. The sexually aggressive acts should have involved power over the victim through age difference (normally four or more years) or force/coercion.

Q115A. Given this definition of "Sexually Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q94
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q94

QR114. Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

QR116. Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No → SKIP to Q94
- 3. Not sure → SKIP to Q94

QR116A. Was the plan in written form?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Not sure

QR116B. Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Not sure

QR118. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Not sure

Adequate Support - C

The next few questions are about your interactions in the first half of 2010.

Q94. Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2010? Would you say . . .

- 1 VERY SATISFIED
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
- 5. Not Sure

Q96. Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2010? Would you say . . .

- 1 VERY SATISFIED
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
5. Not Sure

ALTERNATE ORDER OF NEXT TWO QUESTIONS

Q93A. What could the agency do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?

_____ **THE AGENCY**

Q93B. What could the social worker do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?

_____ **SOCIAL WORKER**

Q93. How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2010? Would you say they are . . .

1. VERY SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q87
2. SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q87
3. SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE
4. VERY UNSUPPORTIVE
5. Not sure --SKIP TO Q87

Q93C. What could the agency do better to help you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child?

_____ **OPEN END**

Q87. In the first half of 2010, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

Q89. The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2010, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? Would you say. . .

- 1 YES, RIGHT AWAY
- 2 YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE
- 3 NO
- 4 Not sure

Adequate Training for Role Responsibilities

Q27. Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? Would you say . . .

- 1 VERY ADEQUATELY → SKIP to Q144
- 2 SOMEWHAT ADEQUATELY → SKIP to Q144
- 3 SOMEWHAT INADEQUATELY
- 4 VERY INADEQUATELY
- 5 Already prepared – did not need the training → SKIP to Q144
- 6 Have not had any training → SKIP to Q144
- 7 Not Sure

Q27A. What training could the agency provide that would help prepare you to be adequately prepared to care for the needs of foster children?

_____ **OPEN END**

Demographics

Now, I have just a few questions about you for statistical purposes.

Q144. (ASK ONLY IF NECESSARY) For survey purposes, I need to ask, are you . . .

- 1. MALE
- 2. FEMALE

Q145. In what year were you born?

Q146. How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? Would you describe it as . . .

- 1. A RURAL AREA
- 2. A SMALL TOWN
- 3. A SUBURB
- 4. A CITY OR URBAN AREA
- 5. Not sure

Q147. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes you. [IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.]

- 1 American Indian
- 2 Black or African American
- 3 Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
- 4 Hispanic or Latin American
- 5 Caucasian or White
- 6 Eskimo or Aleut
- 7 Multiple ethnicities
- 8 Some other ethnicity
- 9 Not Sure

Q148. What is the highest grade that you completed in school?

- 1 None, or grades 1 through 8
- 2 High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11
- 3 High school graduate, grade 12 or GED
- 4 Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school
- 5 Some college, but no degree
- 6 Associate (2-year) college degree
- 7 College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other
- 8 Post graduate training or professional school
- 9 Masters degree
- 10 Doctorate degree
- 11 Not Sure

Q149N. Last, I have a question about your household income in 2010, which is being asked to help in the recruitment and retention of foster parents. I am going to read several income categories. Please tell me which income category best describes your household income for 2010, before taxes and other deductions. Please stop me when I reach the correct income category.

1. A. Less than \$25,000
2. B. Between \$25,000 and \$50,000
3. C. Between \$50,000 and \$75,000
4. D. Between \$75,000 and \$100,000
5. E. Between \$100,000 and \$125,000
6. F. Between \$125,000 and \$150,000, or
7. G. Over \$150,000
- D. Don't know
- R. Refuse

QLAST Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007, 2008, 2009, or 2010 about your foster care experiences?

- | | | |
|------------|-----|----|
| A. In 2007 | Yes | No |
| B. In 2008 | Yes | No |
| C. In 2009 | Yes | No |
| D. In 2010 | Yes | No |

Closing

That completes the survey. Your help is greatly appreciated. Thank you very much for taking the time to participate in this survey and share your opinions about these issues.

Section O. Next section is filled out by the interviewer about the quality of the interview
[CATI NOTE: Use standard interviewer questions]

Survey Letter

September 23, 2010

«FNAME» «LNAME»
«ADDR1»
«ADDR2»
«CITY», «STATE» «ZIP»

Dear Foster Parent or Caregiver:

We need your help! I am writing to let you know that you will be contacted sometime in the next two weeks for a telephone survey of foster parents. The survey is being conducted as part of the Braam Settlement Implementation Plan, and the purpose is to gather information about your experiences to ensure that you are getting the training and support you need.

Your participation is voluntary, but is crucial to the survey's ability to accurately represent the opinions of all foster parents in Washington State. This is an opportunity for you to tell the Children's Administration and the Braam Oversight Panel how things are going.

In order to ensure your confidentiality, Washington State University's Social and Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC) is conducting the survey. All information about you will remain in a confidential form here at SESRC and no personally identifying information will be shared with anyone else, including the Braam panel, and Children's Administration. Your answers will be combined with those of other survey participants so that individual answers cannot be identified in the results. Overall survey results will be used by the Braam Panel and by DCFS (Children's Administration) to identify ways of improving the training and support provided to foster parents.


This is the fifth year that a survey of foster parents has been undertaken. The results from the previous annual surveys of over 1200 foster parents and relative caregivers are available on the Braam Panel website at www.braampanel.org.

The interview will take 20 minutes or less. If the interviewer calls at an inconvenient time, they will gladly make an appointment to call again at a more convenient time.

If you have any questions about the survey or about your participation please call SESRC at 1-800-833-0867 and ask to speak with the Braam survey coordinator, or you may email us at sesrc@wsu.edu. You may also call us if you want to schedule a specific appointment time for the interview. Information about the survey is also available at the Braam Panel website at www.braampanel.org.

Thank you so much for your time and for the care you provide every day!

Sincerely,



John Tarnai
Director



Social & Economic Sciences Research Center
Washington State University
P.O. Box 644014
Pullman, Washington 99164-4014
Telephone: (509) 335-1511 Fax: (509) 335-0116
<http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu>
sesrc@wsu.edu
